

EURASIA

COLLECTIONS

Böck, Monica, and Aparna Rao. (eds.)
2000. *Culture, Creation and Procreation in South Asia: Concepts of Kinship in South Asian Practice*. New York: Berghahn Books.

Bodel, John P., and Saul M. Olyan. (eds.)
2008. *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell.
[Ancient Mediterranean and West Asia.]

Review: Nathan 2009.

Bonte, Pierre. (ed.)
1994. *Épouser au Plus Proche: Inceste, Prohibitions et Stratégies Matrimoniales autour de la Méditerranée*. Paris: Éditions de l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales.

Breteau, Claude H., and Nello Zagnoli (eds.).
1993. *Production, Pouvoir et Parenté dans le Monde Méditerranéen de Sumer à Nos Hours. Actes du Colloque Organisé par l'ERA 357 CNRS/EHESS, Paris, Décembre 1976*. Paris: Librairie Orientaliste Paul Guenther.

Review: Digard 1983.

Cauquelin, Josiane. (ed.)
2000. *L'Énigme Conjugale. Femmes et Mariage en Asie*. Clermont-Ferrand: Presses Universitaires Blaise Pascal, Maison de la Recherche.

Fauve-Chamoux, Antoinette, and Emiko Ochiai. (eds.)
2009. *The Stem Family in Eurasian Perspective: Revisiting House Societies, 17th-20th Centuries*. Berlin: Peter Lang.

Review: Ölschleger 2010.

Peristiany, J. G. (ed.)
1976. *Mediterranean Family Structures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Reviews: Bardis 1977; Douglass 1979; Bonte 1980.

Yamaji, Katsuhiko (ed.)
1990. *Kinship, Gender, and the Cosmic World: Ethnographies of Birth Customs in Taiwan, the Philippines, and Indonesia*. Taipei: SMC Publishing. 230 P.

GENERAL

Beacon, Elizabeth E.
1958. *Obok: A Study of Social Structure in Eurasia*. New York: Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research. (Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology 25.) [Includes kin terminologies and/or brief overviews of kinship systems of Mongolian, Turkic, Indo-European and Semitic peoples.] **HAVE**

Review: Bernard-Maitre 1958.

- Beekes, Robert S. P.
1986. 'You Can Get New Children . . .' Turkish and Other Parallels to Ancient Greek Ideas in Herodotus, Thucydides, Sophocles and Euripides. *Mnemosyne* 39: 225-239.
- Bodel, John, and Saul M. Olyan.
2008. Comparative Perspectives. In *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*, edited by John P. Bodel, and Saul M. Olyan. Pp. 276-282. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell.
- Buxbaum, David C.
1968. *Family Law and Customary Law in Asia: A Contemporary Legal Perspective*. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Dube, Leela.
1996. *Kinship and Gender in South and Southeast Asia: Patterns and Contrasts*. New Delhi: Centre for Women's Development Studies. 47 P.
- Dube, Leela.
1997. *Women and Kinship: Comparative Perspectives on Gender in South and South-East Asia*. Tokyo and New York: United Nations University Press. 214 P.
- Also from New Delhi: Vistaar Publications.
- Flandrin, J.-L.
1984. *Familles, Parenté, Maison, Sexualité dans l'Ancienne Société*. Paris: Seuil. 290 P.
- Gaudemet, J.
1987. *Le Mariage en Occident, les Moeurs et le Droit*. Paris: CERF.
- Goody, Jack.
1990. *The Oriental, the Ancient and the Primitive: Systems of Marriage and the Family in the Pre-Industrial Societies of Eurasia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Reviews: Pfeffer 1991; Vuyk 1992; Peletz 1992; Parkin 1994.
- Hanson, K. S.
1989-1990. The Herodians and Mediterranean Kinship. *Biblical Theology Bulletin* 19: 75-84, 142-151; 20: 10-21.
- Hanson, K. S.
1994. Reader's Guide: Kinship. *Biblical Theology Bulletin* 24: 183-194. [Introductory discussion of Hebrew, Arabic, Greek and Roman kinship, with bibliography.]
- Jeffery, Arthur.
1949. The Family in Islam. In *The Family: Its Function and Destiny*, edited by Ruth N. Anshen. Pp. 201-238. New York: Harper & Bros.
- Lichtenberk, Frantisek. **HAVE**
1983. Relational Classifiers. *Lingua* 60: 147-176. [Includes examples of kin terms in several languages of Southeast Asia and Oceania.]
- Lindholm, Charles. **HAVE**
1986. Kinship Structure and Political Authority: The Middle East and Central Asia. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 28 (2): 334-355.

Lubsangdorji, J., and J. Vacek.
1992. New Mongolian Nivh (Ghiliak) Lexical Parallels (with references to Dravidian).
Archív Orientální 60: 409-430. [Pp. 423-424: kin terms.] **HAVE**

Müller, Max.
1854. The Last Results of the Researches Respecting the Non-Iranian and Non-Semitic Languages of Asia or Europe, or the Turanian Family of Language. In *Christianity and Mankind, Their Beginning and Prospects*, by Christian C. J. Bunsen. Vol. 3. Pp. 263-521. London: Longman. [P. 300: material for the inalienable possession of body part and kin terms in Indo-European languages; 320-321: parental kin terms in Indo-European and Sino-Tibetan languages as proof of their relationship; 329, 392: gender expression in kin terms.] **HAVE**

Palmer, Blair D.
1997. *Production Grammars for the Kinship Terminologies of Burmese and Several Indonesian Languages*. M.A. thesis. McGill University, Canada. 123 P.

Peletz, Michael G.
2003. *Reinscribing 'Asian (Family) Values': Subject Making, Nation Building, and Judicial Process in Malaysia's Islamic Courts*. Occasional Papers of the Erasmus Institute, University of Notre Dame. Notre Dame: Indiana. 50 P.

Unny, Govindan.
1994. *Kinship Systems in South and Southeast Asia: A Study*. New Delhi: Vikas.
[Minangkabau and Kerala.]

COMPARATIVE

Albright, W. F.
1918. Notes on Egypto-Semitic Etymology. *American Journal of Semitic Languages and Literatures* 34 (2): 81-98. [Pp. 87-88: parallels between Egyptian and Mitannian kin terms.] **HAVE**

Bélanger, Danièle.
2009. Agricultural Land, Gender and Kinship in Rural China and Vietnam: A Comparison of Two Villages. *Journal of Agrarian Change* 9 (2): 204-230.

Cooke, Joseph R.
1968. *Pronominal Reference in Thai, Burmese, and Vietnamese*. Berkeley: University of California Press. (University of California Publications in Linguistics 52.) [Includes sections on pronominally used kin terms in three languages.] **HAVE**

Review: Jones, R. 1970.

Cuisenier, Jean. **HAVE**
1967. Systèmes de succession et de dotation en Yougoslavie et en Turquie. *L'Homme* 7 (3): 25-47

Cuisenier, Jean.
1975. *Économie et Parenté, Leurs Affinités de Structure dans la Domaine Turc et dans le Domaine Arabe*. Paris et La Haye: Mouton.

Reviews: Digard 1977; Launay 1978.

Das Gupta, Monica, Jian Zhenghua, Li Bohua, Xie Zhenming, Woojin Chung, and Bae Hwa-Ok.

2003. Why is Son Preference so Persistent in East and South Asia? A Cross-Country Study of China, India and the Republic of Korea. *Journal of Development Studies* 40: 153-187.

Dole, Gertrude E.

1970. The Development of Japanese and Anglo-American Kinship. *Proceedings of the 8th International Congress of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences 1968*. *Ethnology* 2: 105-123.

Gingrich, A.

2005. Konsanguinität: Alte Griechen, Habsburger und Muslime "Nahe Heiraten" aus kultur- und sozialanthropologischer Sicht. *Monatsschrift Kinderheilkunde* 153 (1): 29-33.

Haas, Mary R.

1969. Sibling Terms as Used by Marriage Partners. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 25 (3): 228-235. [Burmese, Thai and Vietnamese.]

Hämäläinen, Albert.

1932. Über Verbote gegen Ehen zwischen nahen Verwandten bei den ostfinnischen Völkern und den Russen. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae*, Seria B, 27: 33-46.

Hoben, Allan.

1975. Family, Land, and Class in Northwest Europe and Northern Highland Ethiopia. In *Proceedings of the 1st United States Conference on Ethiopian Studies, Michigan State University, 2-5 May 1973*, edited by Harold G. Marcus and John Hinnant. Pp. 157-170. East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University.

Jensen, P.

1891. Vorstudien zur Entzifferung des Mitanni. II. *Zeitschrift für Assyriologie und Verwandte Gebiete* 6: 34-72. [Pp. 64-65: parallels between Egyptian and Mitannian kin terms.] **HAVE**

Kemp, Jeremy H. **HAVE**

1983. Kinship and the Management of Personal Relations: Kin Terminologies and the 'Axiom of Amity.' *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- und Volkenkunde* 139: 81-98. [Thai and Malayan.]

Kohler, Josef **HAVE**

1906. Altsyrisches und armenisches Recht. *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Rechtswissenschaft* 19: 103-130.

Lindblom, Charles.

1986. Kinship Structure and Political Authority: The Middle East and Central Asia. *Journal of Comparative History and Society* 28: 334-355.

Moore, M. P. **HAVE**

1981. The Ideological Function of Kinship: The Sinhalese and the Merina. *Man* 16 (4): 579-592.

Nakane, Chie.

1968. *Garo and Khasi: A Comparative Study in Matrilineal Systems*. Paris and The Hague: Mouton. 188 P.

Reviews: Hayley 1969; Lehman 1969; Löffler 1969; McCormack A. 1969.

Narang, Vaishna.

1981-1982. Kinship Terms in Hindi and Thai Linguistic Systems: A Contrastive Analysis. *Journal of the School of Languages* 8 (1-2): 35-48.

Needham, Rodney.

HAVE

1967. Terminology and Alliance. I – Garo, Manggarai. *Sociologus* 16 (2): 141-157.

Nongbri, Tiplut.

HAVE

2010. Family, Gender and Identity: A Comparative Analysis of Trans-Himalayan Matrilineal Structures. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 44 (1-2): 155-178. [Khasi and Mosuo compared.]

Rasuly-Paleczek, Gabriele.

HAVE

2005. Comparative Perspectives on Central Asia and the Middle East in Social Anthropology and the Social Sciences. *Central Eurasian Studies Review* 4 (2): 2-17. [Includes an assessment of the current state of research into the relations between kinship structures and the state.]

Winstedt, Richard O., Sir.

1932. Mother-Right among Khasis and Malays. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (Malayan Branch)* 10: 9-13.

BALKANS

Filipova-Kjurkchieva, Iva.

2001. Kinship and Solidarity among the Bulgarian Muslims of the Teteven Region. *Ethnologia Balkanica* 5: 139-150.

Makaveeva, Ljuba.

1991. Semejstvoto u turkoezicnite bulgari v konteksta na suvremennite kulturoobeditelni procesi. *Bulgarska Etnografija* 5: 3-14.

CAUCASUS

GENERAL

Darinsky, A.

1900. Die Familie bei den kaukasischen Völkern. *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Rechtswissenschaft* 14: 149-210.

Review: Durkheim 1902a.

Kowalewsky, Maxime.

1893. La famille matriarcale au Caucase. *L'Anthropologie* 4: 259-278.

Sokolsky, W.

1883. Spuren primitiver Familienordnungen bei den kaukasischen Bergvölkern. *Russische Revue* 12 (2). St. Petersburg.

POPULATION GENETICS

Bulayeva, Kazima B., Lynn B. Jorde, W. S. Watkins, C. T. Ostler, T. A. Pavlova, O. A. Bulayev, S. Tofanelli, G. Paoli, Henry C. Harpending. **HAVE**
2006. Ethnogenomic Diversity of Caucasus, Daghestan. *American Journal of Human Biology* 18: 610-620. [The role of marriage practices in shaping a genetic landscape.]

Marchani, Elizabeth E., W. Scott Watkins, Kazima Bulayeva, Henry C. Harpending, and Lynn B. Jorde. **HAVE**
2008. Culture Creates Genetic Structure in the Caucasus: Autosomal, Mitochondrial, and Y-Chromosomal Variation in Daghestan. *BMC Genetics* 9: 47. [The influence of patrilocality on gene frequencies.]

CENTRAL ASIA

Chaix, Raphaëlle, Frédéric Austerlitz, T. Hegay, S. Jacquesson, Michael F. Hammer, Evelyne Heyer, and Lluís Quintana-Murci.
2004. The Genetic or Mythical Ancestry of Descent Groups: Lessons from the Y-Chromosome. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 75: 1113-1116.

Chaix, Raphaëlle, Lluís Quintana-Murci, Tatyana Hegay, Michael F. Hammer, Zahra Mobasher, Frédéric Austerlitz, and Evelyne Heyer. **HAVE**
2007. From Social to Genetic Structures in Central Asia. *Current Biology* 17: 43-48. [The impact of patrilineal clans and lineages on the patterns of mtDNA and Y-DNA diversity.]

Gladney, Dru C.
1996. Relational Alterity: Constructing Dungan (Hui), Uygur, and Kazakh Identities Across China, Central Asia, and Turkey. *History and Anthropology* 9 (4): 445-477. [Evans-Pritchard segmentary lineage model applied to ethnic identities.]

Pérez-Lezaun, Anna, Francesc Calafell, David Comas, Eva Mateu, Elena Bosch, Rosa Martínez-Arias, Jordi Clarimón, Giovanni Fiori, Donata Luiselli, Fiorenzo Facchini, Davide Pettener, and Jaume Bertranpetit. **HAVE**
1999. Sex-Specific Migration Patterns in Central Asian Populations, Revealed by Analysis of Y-Chromosome Short Tandem Repeats and mtDNA. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 65: 208-219. [Pp. 215-216: the role of polygyny and patrilocality in driving Y-DNA diversity down.]

Ségurel, Laure, Begoña Martínez-Cruz, Lluís Quintana-Murci, Patricia Balaresque, Myriam Georges, Tatiana Hegay, Almaz Aldashev, Firuza Nasyrova, Mark A. Jobling, Evelyne Heyer, and Renaud Vitalis. **HAVE**
2008. Sex-Specific Genetic Structure and Social Organization in Central Asia: Insights from a Multi-Locus Study. *PLoS Genetics* 4 (9, September): 1-14.

Shahrani, M. Nazif M.
2002. *The Kirghiz and Wakhi of Afghanistan: Adaptation to Closed Frontiers and War*. Seattle: University of Washington Press. [Pp. 68-69: Wakhi kin terminology; 150-166: Kirghiz kinship, including p. 162: kin terminology.]

Werner, Cynthia. **HAVE**
2009. Bride Abduction in Post-Soviet Central Asia: Marking a Shift towards Patriarchy through Local Discourses of Shame and Tradition. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 15 (2): 314-331.

EAST ASIA GENERAL

Benedict, Paul K.
1941. *Kinship in Southeastern Asia*. Ph.D. dissertation. Harvard University.

Benedict, Paul K. **HAVE**
1945. Chinese and Thai Kin Numeratives. *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 65
(1): 33-37.

Michio, Suenari.
1998. Unilateral Kindred: A Reconsideration in East Asian Societies. In *The Anthropology of Korea: East Asian Perspectives*, edited by Shima Mutsuhiko and Roger L. Janelli. Osaka: National Museum of Ethnology. (*Senri Ethnological Studies* 49 (9).)

Palriwala, Rajni.
1994. *Changing Kinship, Family and Gender Relations in South Asia: Processes, Trends and Issues*. Leiden: Women and Autonomy Centre, Leiden University.

COMPARATIVE

Benedict, Paul K.
1976. Austro-Thai and Austroasiatic. In *Austroasiatic Studies*, edited by Philip N. Jenner, Laurence C. Thompson, and Stanley Starosta. Pt. I. Pp. 1-36. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press. [P. 25: kin terms.] **HAVE**

Benedict, Paul K.
1990. *Japanese/Austro-Thai*. Ann Arbor: Karoma. [Pp. 139-147: kin terms.] **HAVE**

Bernatzik, Hugo A.
1947. *Akha und Meau. Probleme der Angewandten Völkerkunde in Hinterindien*. Innsbruck: Kommissionverlag Wagner'sche Univ.-Buchdruckerei. [Pp. 34-35 + table of comparative kin terms in Akha, Meau, Lisu, Lahu, Biet, Dseray, Rade and Lao.]

Translated into English as *Akha and Miao: Problems of Applied Ethnography in Farther India*. Translated by Alois Nagler. New Haven: Human Relations Area Files, 1970.

Blevins, Juliette. **HAVE**
2007. A Long Lost Sister of Proto-Austronesian? Proto-Ongan, Mother of Jarawa and Onge of the Andaman Islands. *Oceanic Linguistics* 46 (1): 154-198. [P. 168: terms for "mother" and "child" compared; 179-180: "inalienable" nouns in Ongan; 183, 187: the only vowel-initial words in Austronesian ("inalienables," or kin terms and body parts) explained by comparison with the Ongan dependent nominal system.]

Damant, G. H.
1880. Notes on the Locality and Population of the Tribes Dwelling between Brahmaputra and Ningthi Rivers. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 12: 228-258. [Pp. 254-258: basic kin terms in several Tibeto-Burman and Tai dialects.] **HAVE**

He, Fang. **HAVE**
1992. The Kinship Terms and the Family Structure in Post-Proto Zhuang-Dong Community – Compared with Chinese. In *3rd International Symposium on Language and*

Linguistics, Bangkok, Thailand. Pp. 639-650. Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University.
[Cross-listed in SINO-TIBETAN and TAI-KADAI.]

Setudeh-Nejad, Shahab.

1996. The Myth and the History of Sino-Iranian Interface: Intercourse of Persia and China in Arts, Culture and Kinship. *Asian Culture Quarterly* 24 (3): 37-42. Taipei.

Siebold, Ph. Fr. von.

1897. *Nippon. Archiv zur Beschreibung von Japan und dessen neben- und Schutzländern jezo mit den su:dlichen Kurilen, Sachalin, Korea und den Liukiu-Inseln*. Bd. 1-2. Würzburg und Leipzig: Leo Woerl. [Vol. 1, pp. 295-296: kin terms for basic kin in Japanese, Korean, Chinese, Ryukyuan and Ainu languages.]

Webb, C. Morgan.

1911. *Census of India, 1911, Vol. 11, Burma, Pt. 1: Report*. Bombay, etc.: Government Central Press. [Appendix lists kin terms in several Tibeto-Burman and Tai-Kadai languages.]

Yi, Kwang-gyu.

1976. A Comparative Study of the Rule of Descent in East Asia: China, Korea, and Japan. *Korea Journal* 16 (11): 12-22.

REGIONAL SIBERIA ARCHAEOLOGY

Mooder, K. P., A. W. Weber, F. J. Bamforth, A. R. Lieverse, Theodore G. Schurr, V. I. Bazaliiski, and N. A. Savel'ev.
2005. Matrilineal Affinities and Prehistoric Siberian Mortuary Practices: A Case Study from Neolithic Lake Baikal. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32: 619-634.

GENERAL

Bikbulatov, Nail V. **HAVE**
1983. Skolziaschii schet pokolenii v uralo-altajskih sistemah rodstva (po dannym areal'nyh nabliudenii). In *Areal'nye Issledovaniia v Iazykoznanii i Etnografii (Iazyk i Etnos)*, edited by N. I. Tolstoi. Pp.240-247. Leningrad: Nauka.

Carlson, Charles F. **HAVE**
2006. Finno-Ugric and Turkic Parallel Kinship Systems. In *Kinship in the Altaic World: Proceedings of the 48th Permanent International Altaistic Conference, Moscow 10-15 July, 2005*, edited by Elena V. Boikova and Rostislav B. Rybakov. Pp. 71-79. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Chlenov, Mikhail A. **HAVE**
1978. Geography of Kinship Systems of the Peoples of Siberia and the Soviet Far East. In *Soviet Studies in Ethnography (Problems of the Contemporary World 72)*. Pp. 161-169. Moscow: USSR Academy of Sciences.

Czaplicka, M. A. **HAVE**
1914. *Aboriginal Siberia: A Study in Social Anthropology*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. [Pp. 23-69: kinship systems of Palaeosiberians and Turko-Mongolians.]

Reviews: Hartland 1915; Lowie 1918.

Dolgikh, Boris O., and Mikhail G. Levin.
1962. Transition from Kinship to Territorial Relationships in the History of the Peoples of Northern Siberia. In *Studies in Siberian Ethnogenesis*, edited by Henry N. Michael. 300-313. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

König, Herbert.
1929. Das Recht der Polarvölker. *Anthropos* 24: 87-143, 621-664. [Marriage law; inheritance law.]

Prokofiev, G. N. **HAVE**
1928. Ostiako-samoyedy Turukhanskogo kraia. *Etnografia* 2: 96-103. [Comparison of Selkup and Ket kin terminologies.]

Schrenck, Leopold von.
1858-1900. *Reisen und Forschungen im Amur-Lande in den Jahren 1854-1856*. Bd. 1-4. St. Petersburg, Russia: Buchdruckerei der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften. [Bd. 3, Lfg. 3, 1895: *Die Völker des Amur-Landes*. Ethnographische Teil, 2d Hälfte. Pp. 631-662: "Family Relationships: Marriage," etc. [Of general interest. Special sections on the Gilyaks, Ainu, Ulchi, Gonds and Orochi.]

Sternberg, Leo. **HAVE**
1913. The Turano-Ganowanian System and the Nations of North-East Asia (To Commemorate the Fortieth Anniversary of Morgan's "Systems of Consanguinity and Affinity."). In *Proceedings of the 18th Session of the International Congress of Americanists, London, 1912*. Pt. 1. Pp. 319-333. London: Harrison & Sons. [Cross-listed in CHUKOTKO-KAMCHATKAN.]

Review: Lowie 1916.

Schweitzer, Peter. **HAVE**
1989. Spouse Exchange in North-Eastern Siberia. On Kinship and Sexual Relations and Their Transformation. In *Kinship, Social Change, and Evolution. Proceedings of a Symposium held in Honour of Walter Dostal*, edited by Andre Gingrich, Siegfried Hass, Sylvia Haas and Gabriele Paleczek. Pp. 17-38. Vienna Contributions to Ethnology and Anthropology 5. Horn – Wien: Ferdinand Berger. [Includes kin classification among the Chukchees (after Bogoraz) and the Siberian Eskimos (after Chlenov).]

COMPARATIVE

Ziker, John P.
1998a. *Kinship, Exchange, and Ethnicity among the Dolgan and Nganasan of Northern Siberia*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, Santa Barbara. [Evolutionary and network-analysis approaches to kinship. P. 158: Dolgan kin terminology.] **HAVE**

Ziker, John P. **HAVE**
1998b. Kinship and Exchange Among the Dolgan and Nganasan of Northern Siberia. In *Research in Economic Anthropology*, Vol. 19, edited by Barry Isaac. Pp. 191-238. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Ziker, John P.
2001. Land Use and Social Change among the Dolgan and Nganasan of Northern Siberia. In *Parks, Property, Power: Managing Hunting Practice and Identity within State*

Policy Regimes, edited by David G. Anderson and Kazunobo Ikeya. Pp. 47-66. Osaka: National Museum of Ethnology.

Ziker, John P.

2002. *Peoples of the Tundra: Native Siberians in the Post Communist Transition*. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press. [Includes discussions of kinship.]

Ziker, John P.

2003a. Assigned Territories, Family/Clan/Communal Holdings, and Common-Pool Resources in the Taimyr Autonomous Region, Northern Russia. *Human Ecology* 31 (3): 331-368.

Ziker, John P.

2003b. Kinship and Friendship in the Taimyr Autonomous Region, Northern Russia. *Sozialer Sinn* 1: 59-80.

Ziker, John P., and Michael Schnegg.

2005. Food Sharing at Meals: Kinship, Reciprocity, and Clustering in the Taimyr Autonomous Region, Northern Russia. *Human Nature* 16 (2): 178-210.

COUNTRY HONG-KONG

McKay, Deirdre.

HAVE

2010. A Transnational Pig: Reconstituting Kinship Among Filipinos in Hong Kong. *Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology* 11 (3-4): 330-344.

EUROPE COLLECTIONS

Hämynen, Tapio, Jukka Partanen, and Yuri Shikalov. (eds.)

2004. *Family Life on the Northwestern Margins of Imperial Russia*. Joensuu, Finland: Joensuu University Faculty of Humanities.

Reviews: Frierson 2005; Plakans 2007.

GENERAL

Anderson, Robert T.

1956. *Changing Kinship in Europe*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, Berkeley.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria.

2003. Possessive Noun Phrases in the Languages of Europe. In *Noun Phrase Structure in the Languages of Europe*, edited by Frans Plank. Pp. 621-722. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter. [Includes kinship possession.]

COMPARATIVE

Sabean, David W.

2002. Kinship and Prohibited Marriages in Baroque Germany: Divergent Histories among Jewish and Christian Populations. *Leo Baeck Institute Yearbook* 47: 91-103. [Cross-listed in INDO-EUROPEAN.]

ARCHAEOLOGY

Gosden, Chris.

HAVE

1985. Gifts and Kin in Early Iron Age Europe. *Man* 20 (3): 475-493.

Pellegrini, A., Teschler-Nicola, M., Bookstein, F., P. Mitteroecker.

2011. Craniofacial Morphology in Austrian Early Bronze Age Populations Reflects Sex-Specific Migration Patterns. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* [Patterns of variation suggestive of patrilocality.]

COUNTRY

UNITED KINGDOM

BRITISH INDIANS

Ballard, Roger.

1973. Family Organisation amongst the Sikhs in Britain. *New Community* 2: 12-23.

Ballard, Roger.

1982. South Asian Families: Structure and Process. In *Families in Britain*, edited by R. Rapaport and M. Fogarty. Pp. 179-204. London: Routledge.

Ballard, Roger.

HAVE

1990. Migration and Kinship: The Differential Effect of Marriage Rule on the Processes of Punjabi Migration to Britain. In *South Asians Overseas*, edited by Clarke Colin, Ceri Peach, and Steven Vertovec. Pp. 219-249. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

SOUTH ASIA

COLLECTIONS of ESSAYS

Böck, Monika and Aparna Rao. (eds.)

2000. *Culture, Creation, and Procreation: Concepts of Kinship in South Asian Practice*. New York: Berghahn Books.

Review: Parkin 2002a.

Charsley, Katherine, and Shaw Alison (eds).

2006. South Asian Transnational Marriages. Special issue. *Global Networks* 6 (4).

Parpola, Asko, and Sirpa Tenhunen. (eds.)

1998. *Changing Patterns of Family and Kinship in South Asia. Proceedings of an International Symposium on the Occasion of the 50th Anniversary of India's Independence held at the University of Helsinki 6 May 1998*. Helsinki: Finnish Oriental Society.

Review: Korom 2001.

Trautmann, Thomas R. (ed.)

1974. *Kinship and History in South Asia*. Ann Arbor: Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies, The University of Michigan. 157 P.

GENERAL

Fortier, Jana. **HAVE**
2009. The Ethnography of South Asian Foragers. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 38: 99-114. [Pp. 105-107: kinship, descent, Dravidian systems, social organization.]

Mann, Kamlesh.
1996. *Tribal Women: On the Threshold of Twenty-First Century*. New Delhi: M.D. Publications. [Patriliney, matriliney, polyandry.]

Masica, C. P.
1995. Alienable/Inalienable Possession Distinction as a South Asian Areal Trait. In *Sthapakashraddha: Sbornik statei pamiati G. A. Zografa*. Pp. 41-60. St. Petersburg: Peterburgskoe vostokovedenie. [Includes kin terms].

Pande, Amrita. **HAVE**
2009. "It May Be Her Eggs But It's My Blood": Surrogates and Everyday Forms of Kinship in India. *Qualitative Sociology* 32 (4): 379-397.

Parkin, Robert. **HAVE**
1992. Dispersed Alliance and Terminological Change in South Asia. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 23 (3): 253-262.

Parkin, Robert.
1998. Dravidian and Iroquois in South Asia. In *Transformations of Kinship*, edited by Maurice Godelier, Thomas R. Trautmann, and Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. Pp. 252-270. Washington and London: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1985. Verwandtschaftssysteme der südasiatischen Regionen im Vergleich. In *Regionalen Tradition in Südasien*, herausgegeben von Hermann Kulke and Dietmar Rothermund. Pp. 171-190. Stuttgart: Steiner-Verlag-Wiesbaden.

Srivastava, A. R. N. **HAVE**
1984. Rise of Marriage and Kinship Studies in South Asia, 1971-82. *International Journal of Asian Studies* 4 (1): 106-123. New Delhi.

Yalman, Nur.
1969. The Semantics of Kinship in South India and Ceylon. In *Current Trends in Linguistics*, Vol. 5, edited by T. A. Sebeok. Pp. 607-626. The Hague: Mouton.

COMPARATIVE

Moral, Dipankar. **HAVE**
1997. North-East India as a Linguistic Area. *Mon-Khmer Studies* 27: 43-53. [Pp. 46-47: the use of personal markers with kin terms as a feature of Munda and Sino-Tibetan languages, with possible borrowing thereof from Munda into Sino-Tibetan.]

Nongbri, Tilput.
2003. "Matrilineal Puzzle" Reexamined: Dialects of Kinship and Gender among the Tribes of North-East India. In *The Practice of Sociology*, edited by Maitrayee Chaudhuri. Pp. 306-334. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan. [Khasi, Garo and Lalung.]

INDIA AND SRI LANKA COLLECTED WORKS

Akös, Östör, Lina Fruzzetti and Steve Barnett. (eds.)
1983. *Concepts of Person: Kinship, Caste and Marriage in India*. Delhi: Oxford University Press.

Reviews: Pocock 1983; Leaf 1985.

Bhandari, J. S. (ed.) **HAVE**
1996. *Kinship and Marriage in North-East India*. Vol. 1-2. New Delhi: Cosmo Publications.

Chakravarty, Kalyan K. (ed.)
1994. *The Indian Family; An Alternative Strategy for Human Survival*. Bhopal: Indirā Gāndhī Rāshṭrīya Mānava Saṅgrahālaya.

Fruzzetti, Lina, and Akös Östör. (eds.)
1984. *Kinship and Ritual in Bengal: Anthropological Essays*. New Delhi: South Asian Publishers.

Review: Bertocci 1986.

Goswami, B. B. J. Sarkar, and Dipali G. Danda. (eds.)
1988. *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Problems and Kinship and Caste in Two Regions of India. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 10 (1): 63-182.

Uberoi, Patricia. (ed.) **HAVE**
1993. *Family, Kinship and Marriage in India*. Delhi, etc.: Oxford University Press.

Reviews: Kolenda 1994; Mukhopadhyay 1995.

GENERAL CASTE

Ghurye, Govind S.
1932. *Caste and Race in India*. London. [Extensively on caste and kinship; Tamil Nadu, Malayalam.]

5th ed.: Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1968.

Reviews: C. E. A. W. O. 1932; Pratt 1932; Briggs 1933; Dodwell 1933; Enthoven 1933; Alexander K. 1970; Meinhard 1972.

Reply to C. E. A. W. O. 1932: Ghurye 1933.

Puthenkalam, J.
1977. *Marriage and the Family in Kerala, with Special Reference to Matrilineal Castes*. Calgary: Department of Sociology, University of Calgary.

Review: Reid 1979.

Ramanujan, A. K.
1968. The Structure of Variation: A Study in Caste Dialects. In *Structure and Change in Indian Society*, edited by Milton Singer and Bernard S. Cohn. Pp. 461-474. Chicago: Aldine [P. 467: suffixation of kin terms in Brahmin and non-Brahmin dialects.]

Shanmugam Pillai, M.
1965. Caste Isoglosses in Kinship Terms. *Anthropological Linguistics* 7 (4): 59-66.

LANGUAGE/CULTURE

Allen, Nick J.
1978. Fourfold Classifications of Society in the Himalayas. In *Himalayan Anthropology: The Indo-Tibetan Interface*, edited by James F. Fischer. Pp. 7-26. The Hague and Paris: Mouton. [Pp. 20-21: prescriptive and non-prescriptive terminologies in India. The north-south opposition.]

Arunima, G.
1996. Multiple Meanings: Changing Conceptions of Matrilineal Kinship in Nineteenth- and Twentieth-Century Malabar. *Indian Economic and Social History Review* 33 (3): 283-307.

Aziz, K. M. Ashraful.
1978. *Kin Terminologies and Family Structures of Muslims and Hindus in Matlab Thānā and Neighbourhood, Rural Bangladesh*. M.A. thesis. University of Rajshahi.

Basu, Mahadeb.
1988. A Brief Survey of Outbreeding in Modern India. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 59-66. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Carter, Anthony T. **HAVE**
1973. Comparative Analysis of Systems of Kinship and Marriage in South Asia. *Proceedings of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 1973: 29-54.

Carter, Anthony T.
1984. Kintype Classification and Concept of Relatedness in South Asia. *American Ethnologist* 11 (1): 81-97. [An attempt to contribute to the resolution of the theoretical problems about formal semantic analysis (in short, the correlation between genealogy and social relations) by critical discussion of the materials on Kannada, Hindi and non-Brahman Marathi.]

Chattopadhyay, K. P. **HAVE**
1922. Levirate and Kinship in India. *Man* 22 (25): 36-41.

Chekki, D. A.
1968. Preferential Kin Marriage in India. *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 3 (3-4): 287-292.

Dasgupta, Dipankar.
1985. *Linguistic Studies in Juang Kharia Thar, Lodha Mal-Pahariya, Ghatoali Pahariya*. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India. [Pp. 83-84: affixation in Juang kin terms; 191-194: affixation in mal Pahariya kin terms; 230-237: Mal Pahariya kin terminology.] **HAVE**

Dasgupta, Manisha.

1966. An Approach to Study the Structure of Descent, Marriage and Kinship in India. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of India* 1 (2): 197-203.

Dube, Leela.

1972. Sociology of Kinship: An Analytical Survey of Literature. In *A Survey of Research in Sociology and Anthropology*. Bombay: Popular Prakashan. [Exclusively on Dravidian and Sino-Tibetan groups of India].

Reprint: Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1974.

Reviews: Barnard 1977b; Pradhan 1977; Vatuk 1977.

Dumont, Louis.

1961-1966. Marriage in India: The Present State of the Question. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 5: 75-95; 7: 77-98; 9: 90-114.

Dumont, Louis.

1964. Marriage in India: The Present State of the Question. Postscript to Part 1-2, Nayar and Newar [with comment by C. von Furer-Haimendorf]. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 7: 77-102.

Dumont, Louis.

1993. North India in Relation to South India. In *Family, Kinship and Marriage in India*, edited by Patricia Uberoi. Pp. 91-111. Delhi, etc.: Oxford University Press.

Dumont, Louis, and D. Pocock.

HAVE

1957. For a Sociology of India. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 1: 7-22. [Includes comments on kinship studies in India.]

Dyson, Tim, and Mick Moore.

1983. Kinship Structure, Female Autonomy and Demographic Behavior in India. *Population and Development Review* 9: 35-60.

Ehrenfels, O. R. von.

1940-1941. The Dual System and Mother-Right in India. *Anthropos* 35-36: 665-680.

Ehrenfels, Uriel R.

1952. Matrilineal Family Background in South India. *Madras University Journal* 23: 38-43.

Ehrenfels, Uriel R.

1954. Matrilineal Family Background in South India. *Anthropos* 49: 707-708.

Ehrenfels, Uriel R.

HAVE

1955. Three Matrilineal Groups of Assam: A Study in Similarities and Differences. *American Anthropologist* 57 (2, pt. 1): 306-321. [Garó and two Khasi groups.]

Ehrenfels, Uriel R., and P.V. Velayudhan.

1956. Legislation Against Matriliney. *The Anthropologist* 3 (1-2): 35-47. Delhi.

Emeneau, Murray B.

1963. Review of *Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen (A concise etymological Sanskrit Dictionary)*, by Manfred Mayrhofer. *Language* 39 (1): 101-107.

[Pp. 101-104: observations on kin term borrowings between Indo-Aryan and Dravidian languages.]

Emeneau, Murray B.

1992. Joking Relationship in India: A Linguistic Note. In *Dimensions of Sociolinguistics in South Asia: Papers in Memory of Gerald B. Kelley*, edited by Edward C. Dimock, Braj B. Kachru, and Bh. Krishnamurti. New Delhi, etc.: Oxford & IBH.

Fanselow, F. S.

1986. *Trade, Kinship and Islamisation: A Comparative Study of the Social and Economic Organisation of Muslim and Hindu Traders in Tirunelveli District, South India*. Ph.D. dissertation. London School of Economics.

Fruzzetti, Lina, and Akös Östör.

1984. The Place of Kinship Studies in the Anthropology of India. In *Kinship and Ritual in Bengal: Anthropological Essays*, by Lina Fruzzetti and Akos Ostor. Pp. 1-5. New Delhi: South Asian Publishers.

Fürer-Haimendorf, Christoph von.

1985. *Tribal Populations and Cultures of the Indian Subcontinent*. Leiden and Köln: Brill. [Pp. 20, 51, 81: brief notes on kinship systems of Malapantaram, Juangs and Thankhuls.]

Heine-Geldern, Robert, Freiherr von.

1921. Mutterrecht und Kopfjagd im westlichen Hinterindien. *Mitteilungen der Anthropologische Gesellschaft in Wien* 51: 105-140.

Hodson, T. C.

1925. Notes on the Marriage of Cousins in India. *Man in India* 5: 163-175.

Hutton, J. H.

1955. Kinship Organization in India. *Man* 55 (101): 80.

Hvenekilde, Anne.

2001. Kinship Systems and Language Choice among Academics in Shillong, Northeast India. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* 11 (2): 174 -193. [Indo-Aryan, Sino-Tibetan, and Austroasiatic interface.]

Jeffrey, Robin.

1990. Matriliney, Women, Development - and a Typographical Error. *Pacific Affairs* 63 (3): 373-377.

HAVE

Kapadia, K. M.,

1958. *Marriage and Family in India*. Bombay: Indian Branch, Oxford University Press.

Review: Löffler 1959.

Karve, Irawati.

1953. *Kinship Organization in India*. Poona: Deccan College Post-Graduate and Research Institute. [Indo-European, Dravidian and Munda.]

Reviews: Hutton 1954; Sachidananda 1954; Useem 1954.

Karve, Irawati.
1970. *Kinship Patterns, Terminology and Behaviour in India*, edited by Henry Field and Edith M. Laired. Coconut Grove, FA: Field Research Projects. 36 P. (Occasional Paper 10).

Review: Vatuk 1973.

Karunatillake, W. S., and S. Suseendrarajah.
1975. Pronouns of Address in Tamil and Sinhalese: A Sociolinguistic Study. *International Journal of Dravidian Linguistics* 4 (1): 83-96. [P. 86: on the use of kin terms and honorifics among kin.]

Karve, Irawati.
1993. The Kinship Map of India. In *Family, Kinship and Marriage in India*, edited by Patricia Uberoi. Pp. 50-73. Delhi, etc.: Oxford University Press.

Koppers, Wilhelm.
1944. India and the Dual Organization. *Acta Tropica* 1: 72-92, 97-119.

See also Pfeffer 1982a.

Krishan, Shree, and S. Chattopadhyay. **HAVE**
1990. Kinship Terminology. In *Linguistic Traits Across Language Boundaries (A Report of All India Linguistic Traits Survey)*, edited by Shree Krishan. Pp. 1-24. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Lewis, J. P.
1884-1886. On the Terms of Relationship in Sinhalese and Tamil. *The Orientalist* 1: 217-223; (2): 64-69.

Mandelbaum, David G.
1970. *Society in India*. Vol. 1-2. Berkeley, Los Angeles and London: University of California Press. [Includes chapter "Family and Kinship Relations."]

Reviews: Spencer D. 1971; Babb 1972; Madan 1972; Seymour 1973.

Mari Bhat, P. N., and Shiva S. Halli. **HAVE**
1999. Demography of Brideprice and Dowry: Causes and Consequences of the Indian Marriage Squeeze. *Population Studies* 53 (2): 129-148

Mukherjee, Bhabananda. **HAVE**
1961. Comparative Study of the Kinship Systems of Six Matrilineal Tribes. *Eastern Anthropologist* 14 (3): 216-228. [Garo, Khasi, Urali, Mala Pantaram, Mathuvan, Kanikkar.]

Mukherjee, Bhabananda. **HAVE**
1981. *Structure and Kinship in Tribal India*. Calcutta: Minerva, 1981. [A detailed typological and componential description of Indo-Iranian, Dravidian, Sino-Tibetan and Munda terminologies.]

Mukherjee, Bhabananda. **HAVE**
1973. Kinship Terminology. *Journal of the Indian Anthropological Society* 8: 45-59. [Typological description and comparison of kin terminologies of Dravidian,

Austroasiatic, Tibeto-Burman and Indo-European languages using current anthropological theory.]

Parkin, Robert. **HAVE**
1988. Reincarnation and Alternate Generation Equivalence in Middle India. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 44 (1): 1-19. [Dravidian and Munda.]

Parkin, Robert. **HAVE**
1990. Terminology and Alliance in India: Tribal Systems and the North-South Problem. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 24 (1): 61-76.

Parkin, Robert. **HAVE**
1993. Middle Indian Kinship Systems: A Critique of George Pfeffer's Interpretation. *Anthropos* 88: 323-336.

Parkin, Robert.
1993b. Reply to Pfeffer. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 24 (1): 54-63.

Parkin, Robert J.
1993c. Second Reply to Pfeffer. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 24 (2): 158-163.

Parkin, Robert J.
1994. Reply to Georg Pfeffer. *Social Anthropology* 2 (3): 301.

Parkin, Robert.
1997. Caste, Kinship, and Identity in India. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 28 (3): 339-349. (Special Issue: "Kinship and Identity.")

Pfeffer, Georg.
1982a. Indien und die Dualorganisation: Eine Kritik an W. Koppers. *Anthropos* 77: 134-150.

See Koppers 1944.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1982b. *Status and Affinity in Middle India*. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.

Review: Bouez 1985a.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1982c. Tribal Social Organization and 'Hindu Influence'. *Internationales Asienforum* 13: 45-54.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1983. Generation and Marriage in Middle India: The Evolutionary Potential of 'Restricted Exchange'. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 17: 87-121.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1989. On Lineage, Caste and Tribe in South Asia. *Sociologus* 39: 2-18.

Pfeffer, Georg.
1993b. Dispersed Alliance, Terminological Change and Evidence. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 24 (1): 49-54.

- Pfeffer, Georg.
1993b. Parkin's Evidence. *Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford* 24 (2): 153-157.
- Pfeffer, Georg. **HAVE**
2004. Order in Tribal Middle Indian 'Kinship'. *Anthropos* 99: 381-409. [Dravidian and Munda.]
- Pinto, Sarah. **HAVE**
2009. Crises of Commitment: Ethics of Intimacy, Kin, and Confinement in Global Psychiatry. *Medical Anthropology* 28 (1): 1-10. [Divorced women in North Indian clinic.]
- Raj, Hilda.
1950. Some Observations on the Classificatory System as Seen in North Indian and South India. In *Proceedings of the 37th Indian Science Congress. Poona, 1950*. P. 214. Calcutta: Indian Science Congress Association.
- Ramu, G. N.
1974. Urban Kinship Ties in South India: A Case Study. *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 36 (3): 619-627.
- Rivers, William H. R.
1907. The Marriage of Cousins in India. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland* 39: 611-640.
- Rivers, William H. R.
1921. Kinship and Marriage in India. *Man in India* 1: 6-10.
- Sarkar, Jayanta.
1987. *Society, Culture and Ecological Adaptation among Three Tribes of Arunachal Pradesh*. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India. [Pp. 105-120: Khamti (Tai), Padam (dialect of Adi) and Kaman Mishmi (both – Sino-Tibetan) kin terminologies.] **HAVE**
- Sen, Lalit K.
1965. Family in Four Indian Villages. *Man in India* 45: 1-16.
- Skoda, Uwe.
2004. The Politics-Kinship Nexus in India: Sonia Gandhi versus Sushma Swaraj in the 1999 General Elections. *Contemporary South Asia* 13 (3): 273-285.
- Stein, Dorothy. **HAVE**
1988. Burning Widows, Burning Brides: The Perils of Daughterhood in India. *Pacific Affairs* 61 (3): 465-485.
- Trautmann, Thomas R. **HAVE**
2000. India and the Study of Kinship Terminologies. *L'Homme* 154-155: 559-572.
- Trautmann, Thomas R.
2001. Kinship, Language, and the Construction of South India. In *Structure and Society in Early South India: Essays in Honour of Noboru Karashima*, edited by Kenneth R. Hall. Pp. 181-197. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Uberoi, Patricia.

2000. Family, Kinship and Marriage in India. In *Students' Britannica India: Select Essays*, edited by Dale Hoiberg and Indu Ramchandani. Pp. 144-155. New Delhi: Encyclopaedia Britannica (India).

POPULATION

Audinarayana, N., and S. Krishnamoorthy.
2000. Contribution of Social and Cultural Factors to the Decline in Consanguinity in South India. *Social Biology* 47 (3-4): 189-200.

Badaruddoza, and M. Afzal.
1995. Effects of Inbreeding on Marriage Payment in North India. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 27: 333-337.

Chandrasekar, A., and Jacob S. Jayraj.
1993. Consanguinity and Its Trend in a Mendelian Population of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Social Biology* 40 (3-4): 244-247.

Govinda Reddy, P.
1988. Consanguineous Marriages and Marriage Payment: A Study among Three South Indian Caste Groups. *Annals of Human Biology* 15: 263-268.

Khan, Faisal, Atul Kumar Pandey, Manorma Tripathi, Sudha Talwar, Prakash S. Bisen, Minal Borkar, and Suraksha Agrawal. **HAVE**
2007. Genetic Affinities Between Endogamous and Inbreeding Populations of Uttar Pradesh. *BMC Genetics* 8: 12. [Includes surname endogamy.]

Kumar Vikrant, Banrida T. Langstieh, Komal V. Madhavi, Vegi M. Naidu, Hardeep Pal Singh, Silpak Biswas, Kumarasamy Thangaraj, Lalji Singh, B. Mohan Reddy. Global
2006. Patterns in Human Mitochondrial DNA and Y-Chromosome Variation Caused by Spatial Instability of the Local Cultural Processes. *PLoS Genetics* 2 (4). [The dependence of genetic variation on the patterns of marital residence.] **HAVE**

Reich, David, Kumarasamy Thangaraj, Nick Patterson, Alkes L. Price, and Lalji Singh.
2009. Reconstructing Indian Population History. *Nature* 461: 489-494. [Genetic evidence of the antiquity of endogamy in India.] **HAVE**

COMPARATIVE

Bhattacharya, Sudhibhushan. **HAVE**
1975. Linguistic Convergence in the Dravido-Munda Culture Area. *International Journal of Dravidian Linguistics* 4 (2): 199-214. [Pp. 209-213: on kin terms in two language families.]

Fruzetti, Line, Akös Östör, and Steve Barnett.
1976. The Cultural Construction of the Person in Bengal and Tamil Nadu. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 10 (1): 157-182.

Hodson, T. C. **HAVE**
1921. The Garo and Khasi Marriage Systems Contrasted. *Man in India* 1 (2): 18-39.

REGIONAL

Chandra, Ramesh.

1988. Marriage Forms in North-Western Himalayas: In the Perspective of Evolution. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 161-71. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Datta, M. S., and S. B. Nandi.

1988. Tribes in Eastern India: Selection of Mates and Trends in Marriage. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 34-40. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

De, Pranab J.

1988. Uniqueness and Variability in Marriage Systems in Different Cultural Areas of Bihar: A Brief Assessment. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 41-46. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Hajra, D., P. J. De, R. K. Sinha, S. Ghosal, T. Maity, and B. B. Goswami.

1988. Marriage System in Central India: Some Trends. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 16-33. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

McGilvray, Dennis B.

1973. Caste and Matrilineal Structure in Eastern Sri Lanka: A Preliminary Report in Fieldwork in Akkaraipattu. *Modern Ceylon Studies* 4: 5-20.

McGilvray, Dennis B.

1989. Households in Akkaraipattu: Dowry and Domestic Organization among the Matrilineal Tamils and Moors of Sri Lanka. In *Society from the Inside Out: Anthropological Perspectives on the South Asian Household*, edited by John N. Gray and David J. Mearns. Pp. 192-235. New Delhi, Newbury Park and London: Sage.

McGilvray, Dennis B.

2008. *Crucible of Conflict: Tamil and Muslim Society on the East Coast of Sri Lanka*. Durham NC: Duke University Press. [Pp. 365-368: Tamil and Muslim kin terms.]

Moodey, Richard W.

1978. Kinship and Culture in the Himalayan Region. In *Himalayan Anthropology: The Indo-Tibetan Interface*, edited by James F. Fischer. Pp. 27-36. The Hague and Paris: Mouton. [An application of Hsu's model.]

Morab, S. G.

1988. Marriage System in South India: Some Trends. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 3-15. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Sarkar, Jayanta.

1988. Marriage System in North-East India. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 47-58. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Sen, Sipra.

1992. *Tribes and Castes of Manipur: Description and Select Bibliography*. New Delhi: Mittal. [Basic information on kinship and marriage among the Meithei and other tribes of Manipur.]

URBAN

- Donner, Henrike. **HAVE**
2003. The Place of Birth: Childbearing and Kinship in Calcutta Middle-Class Families. *Medical Anthropology* 22 (4): 303-341.

RELIGIOUS GENERAL

- Gopinathan, G.
1998. The Process of Rehumanisation Initiated by Sri Narayana Guru and Its Impact on Kinship and Other Social Relationships in Kerala. In *Changing Patterns of Family and Kinship in South Asia. Proceedings of an International Symposium on the Occasion of the 50th Anniversary of India's Independence held at the University of Helsinki 6 May 1998*, edited by Asko Parpola, and Sirpa Tenhunen. Pp. 87-110. Helsinki: Finnish Oriental Society.

CATHOLIC

- Gudeman, Stephen F. **HAVE**
1976. The Compadrazgo and Sri Lanka. *Man* 11 (3): 435-436. [Critique of Stirrat 1975.]

- Sen, Jyoti.
1988. Marriage among the Catholic Anglo-Indian of Calcutta. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 240-254. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

- Stirrat, R. L.
1975. Compadrazgo in Catholic Sri Lanka. *Man* 10 (4): 589-606.

- Stirrat, R. L. **HAVE**
1976. The Compadrazgo and Sri Lanka. *Man* 11 (3): 437-438. [Reply to Gudeman 1976.]

See also Stirrat 1975.

HINDU

- Good, Anthony. **HAVE**
2000. Congealing Divinity: Time, Worship and Kinship in South Indian Hinduism. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 6 (2): 273-292.

MUSLIM

- Gough, Kathleen E.
1973. Kinship and Marriage in Southwest India. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 7: 104-134. [Discussion of Kutty's description of kinship on Kalpeni island.]

- Grist, Nicola.
1993. Muslim Kinship and Marriage in Ladakh. In *Proceedings of the International Seminar on the Anthropology of Tibet and the Himalaya, September 21-28 1990*, edited by Charles Ramble and Martin Brauen. Zürich: Ethnographic Museum of the University of Zurich.

Huq, F.

1988. Marriage and Its Impact on Demography among Two Endogamous Muslim Groups of West Bengal. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 90-98. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Nasir, Rosina, and A. K. Kalla.

HAVE

2006. Kinship System, Fertility and Son Preference among the Muslims: A Review. *Anthropologist* 8 (4): 275-281.

Rizvi, B. R.

1988. Temporary Contract Marriage System among the Muslims. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 235-239. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

Rizvi, S. H. M.

1988. Consanguineous Marriage: A Case of Asna Ashariya. In *Marriage in India: Tribes, Muslims, and Anglo-Indians*, edited by B. B. Goswami, J. Sarkar, and D. Danda. Pp. 84-89. Calcutta: Anthropological Survey of India.

SYRIAC

Anantakrishna Ayyar, L. K.

1926. *Anthropology of the Syrian Christians*. Ernakulam: Cochin Government Press. [Pp. 70-118: "Marriage Customs," including pp. 113-117: kin terminology.]

URBAN

Kappor, Sanoj.

1965. Family and Kinship Groups among the Khatri in Delhi. *Sociological Bulletin* 14: 54-63.

SOUTHEAST ASIA

COLLECTED WORKS

Hüsken, Frans, and Jeremy Kemp. (eds.)

1991. *Cognation and Social Organization in Southeast Asia*. Leiden: KITLV Press.

Reviews: Hicks 1993; Valeri 1993; Barnes 1994; Thomas, L. 1994.

Janowski, Monica, and Fiona Kerlogue. (eds.)

2007. *Kinship and Food in South East Asia*. Copenhagen: Nordic Institute of Asian Studies; Nias Press.

Review: Choo 2009.

Murdock, George P. (ed.)

1960. *Social Structure in Southeast Asia*. Chicago: Quadrangle Books; London: Tavistock. (Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology 29.)

Reviews: Burling 1961; Palmier 1961; Skinner 1962; Sahlins 1963.

GENERAL

Benedict, Paul K. **HAVE**
1996. Parental *pa/ba ~ *ma in Southeast Asia. *Mon-Khmer Studies* 25:1-6.

Bernot, Lucien.
1965. Lévirat et sororat en Asie du Sud-Est. *L'Homme* 5 (3-4): 101-173.

Day, Tony. **HAVE**
1996. Ties That (Un)Bind: Families and States in Premodern Southeast Asia. *Journal of Asian Studies* 55 (2): 384-409.

Errington, Shelly.
1987. Incestuous Twins and the House Societies of Insular Southeast Asia. *Cultural Anthropology* 2 (4): 403-444.

Jones, Gavin W.
1994. *Marriage and Divorce in Islamic South-East Asia*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Reviews: Othman 1996; Tsuya 1996; Banks 1997.

Kasberg, Robert H. **HAVE**
1989. The Social Significance of Names and Naming in Southeast Asia. *Yale Graduate Journal of Anthropology* 2: 36-42. [Birth-order names, teknonyms, necronyms.]

King, Victor T., and William D. Wilder.
2003. *The Modern Anthropology of South-East Asia: An Introduction*. London: Routledge.

LeBar, Frank, Gerald C. Hickey, and John K. Musgrave. **HAVE**
1964. *Ethnic Groups of Mainland Southeast Asia*. New Haven: HRAF Press.

Reviews: Cunningham 1965; Hobbs 1955.

Lingat, Robert.
1952-1955. *Les Régimes Matrimoniaux du Sud-Est de l'Asie. Essai de Droit Comparé Indochinois*. Vol. 1-2. Paris: E. de Boccard.

Reviews: Vannicelli 1953 (Vol. 1); Gledhill 1955 (Vol. 1); Du Bois 1957 (Vol. 2).

Loeb, Edwin M., and J. O. M. Broek. **HAVE**
1947. Social Organization and the Long House in Southeast Asia. *American Anthropologist* 49 (3): 414-425.

Ner, M.
1930. Au pays du droit maternel: Compte rendu de missions. *Bulletin de l'École Française d'Extrême-Orient* 30: 533-576.

Olsen, Neil H.
1976. Matrilineal Societies in Southeast Asia: Examples from Highland Vietnam. In *Changing Identities in Modern Southeast Asia*, edited by David J. Banks. Pp. 249-255. The Hague: Mouton; Chicago: Aldine.

Shapiro, Warren.

1969. Asymmetric Marriage in Australia and Southeast Asia. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-Land- en Volkenkunde* 125 (1): 71-79. [Cross-listed in AUSTRALIA.]

Wilder, William D.

1975. Kinship in Southeast Asia: A Comparison of Four Systems, Malay, Kachin, Penan, Balinese. *DYN: The Journal of the Durham University Anthropological Society* 3: 37-69. Edinburgh.

Wilder, William D.

HAVE

1976. Problems in Comparison of Kinship Systems in Island Southeast Asia. In *Changing Identities in Modern Southeast Asia*, edited by David J. Banks. Pp. 291-309. The Hague and Paris: Mouton. [Includes Malay kin terminology.]

WEST ASIA ANCIENT

Fleming, Daniel E.

2008. The Integration of Household and Community Religion in Ancient Syria. In *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*, edited by John P. Bodel, and Saul M. Olyan. Pp. 37-59. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell. [Bronze Age Emar.]

Lafont, Bertrand.

2001. Adoption. In *Dictionnaire de la Civilisation Mésopotamienne*, edited by Francis Joannès. Pp. 16-17. Paris: Robert Laffont.

Lewis, Theodore J.

2008. Family, Household, and Local Religion at Late Bronze Age Ugarit. In *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*, edited by John P. Bodel, and Saul M. Olyan. Pp. 60-88. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell

Toorn, Karel van der.

2008. Family Religion in Second Millennium West Asia (Mesopotamia, Emar, Nuzi). In *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*, edited by John P. Bodel, and Saul M. Olyan. Pp. 20-36. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell.

Pilloud, Marin A., and Clark S. Larsen.

2011. "Official" and "Practical" Kin: Inferring Social and Community Structure from Dental Phenotype at Neolithic Çatalhöyük, Turkey. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 145 (4): 519-530.

Schmitt, Rüdiger.

2008. Ashdod and the Material Remains of Domestic Cults in the Philistine Coastal Plain. In *Household and Family Religion in Antiquity*, edited by John P. Bodel, and Saul M. Olyan. Pp. 159-170. Malden, MA; Oxford: Blackwell. [The language affiliation of the Philistines is uncertain.]

MODERN

Coope, Jessica A.

HAVE

2008. Marriage, Kinship, and Islamic Law in Al-Andalus: Reflections on Pierre Guichard's Al-Andalus. *Al-Masaq: Islam & the Medieval Mediterranean* 20 (2): 161-177.

Farjadian, S., M. Sazzini, S. Tofanelli, L. Castrì, L. Taglioli, D. Pettener, A. Ghaderi, G. Romeo, and D. Luiselli. **HAVE**
2011. Discordant Patterns of mtDNA and Ethno-Linguistic Variation in 14 Iranian Ethnic Groups. *Human Heredity* 72: 73-84. [The effect of endogamy on the conservation of pre-Indo-Iranian lineages.]

Lecerf, Jean.

1956. Note sur la famille dans le monde arabe et islamique. *Arabica* 3 (1): 31-60.