

FORMAL METHODS

COLLECTIONS of ESSAYS

Ballonoff, Paul A. (ed.)

1974. *Mathematical Models of Social and Cognitive Structures: Contributions to the Mathematical Anthropology*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Review: Kronenfeld 1976.

Kay, Paul. (ed.)

1971. *Explorations in Mathematical Anthropology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Review: Scheffler 1972.

SPECIAL ISSUES

Hammel, Eugene A. (ed.)

1965. Formal Semantic Analysis. *American Anthropologist* 65 (5, pt. 2).

Review: Robins 1966.

GENERAL

Álvarez Munárriz, L.

1998. Antropología Cognitiva. In *Anthropología: Horizontes Teóricos*. Pp. 57-94. Granada: Comares. [Especially pp. 79-94: "Ethnosemantics."]

Berreman, Gerald D.

HAVE

1966. Anemic and Emetic Analyses in Social Anthropology. *American Anthropologist* 68 (2): 346-354. [Critique of ethnoscience.]

Reprinted in: *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps, and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 223-232. San Francisco, etc.: Chandler, 1972.

Borland, C. H.

HAVE

1979. Kinship Term Grammar: A Review. *Anthropos* 74 (3-4): 326-352. [Cross-listed in AFRICA.]

Reprinted in *Kinship: Contemporary Perspective*, edited by S. M. Channa. Pp. 142-175. New Delhi: Cosmo Publications.

Brown, Penny.

2006. Cognitive Anthropology. In *Language, Culture and Society: Key Topics in Linguistic Anthropology*, edited by Christine Jourdan and Kevin Tuite. Pp. 96-114.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Pp. 98-100: ethnoscience and the study of kin terminologies.]

Buchler, Ira R.

1964. *A Formal Theory of Kinship Reckoning*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Pittsburgh. 176 P.

Colby, Benjamin N.

HAVE

1966. Ethnographic Semantics: A Preliminary Survey. *Current Anthropology* 7 (1): 3-32.

Colby, Benjamin N.

1975. Culture Grammars. *Science* 187 (4180): 913-919. [Studies of kin terms are referred to in passing.]

Colby, Benjamin N., James W. Fernandez, and David B. Kronenfeld.

HAVE

1981. Toward a Convergence of Cognitive and Symbolic Anthropology. *American Ethnologist* 8 (3): 422-450. (Special Issue: *Symbolism and Cognition*.) [Includes reflections on componential and other forms of formal kinship analysis.]

D'Andrade, Roy G.

HAVE

1970. Structure and Syntax in the Semantic Analysis of Kinship Terminologies. In *Cognition: A Multiple View*, edited by Paul L. Garvin. Pp. 87-144. New York and Washington: Spartan Books.

D'Andrade, Roy G.

1995. *The Development of Cognitive Anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Pp. 16-57: kin terminologies (Chiricahua and American English as examples; sibling typology, comparison of Wallace-Atkins vs. Romney-D'Andrade model, conjunction vs. disjunction, markedness, etc.)]

HAVE

Davis, Kingsley.

1936. *A Structural Analysis of Kinship: Prolegomena to the Sociology of Kinship*. Ph.D. dissertation. Harvard University.

Davis, Kingsley, and W. Lloyd Warner.

HAVE

1937. Structural Analysis of Kinship. *American Anthropologist* 39 (2): 291-313.

Reprinted in: *Kinship and Social Organization*, edited by Paul Bohannan and John Middleton. Pp. 61-92. Garden City, N. Y.: Natural History Press, 1968.

Durbin, M.

1966. The Goals of Ethnoscience. *Anthropological Linguistics* 8 (8): 22-41.

Hanifi, M. Jamil.

1974. An Appraisal of Formal and Structural Kinship Analysis. *International Journal of the Sociology of the Family* 4 (1): 53-57.

Kay, Paul. **HAVE**
1970. Some Theoretical Implications of Ethnographic Semantics. *Bulletin of the American Anthropological Association* 3 (3, pt. 2): 19-31. (Special Issue: "Current Directions in Anthropology," edited by Ann Fischer.)

Keen, Ian.
1985. Definitions of Kin. *Journal of the Anthropological Research* 41 910: 62-90.

Reprinted in *Kinship: Contemporary Perspective*, edited by S. M. Channa. Pp. 32-66. New Delhi: Cosmo Publications.

Kronenfeld, David B.
1996. *Plastic Glasses and Church Fathers: Semantic Extension from the Ethnoscience Tradition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Review: Salzmann 1998.

Kronenfeld, David B. **HAVE**
2001. Using Sydney H. Gould's Formalization of Kin Terminologies: Social Information, Skewing and Structural Types. *Anthropological Theory* 1 (2): 173-196.

Kronenfeld, David B.
2004. On Language and Culture: Another Strand. *Current Anthropology* 45 (4): 532-533. [Criticism of Alessandra Duranti's review "Language and Culture in U.S. Anthropology: Three Paradigms" (*Current Anthropology* 44: 323-347) for the omission of the formal studies of kinship.]

Kronenfeld, David B.
2006. Issues in the Classification of Kinship Terminologies. *Anthropos* 101: 203-219.

Lave, Jean, Alex Stepick, and Lee Sailer. **HAVE**
1977. Extending the Scope of Formal Analysis: A Technique for Integrating Analysis of Kinship Relations With Analyses of Other Dyadic Relations. *American Ethnologist* 4 (2): 321-337.

Maranda, Pierre.
1967. Formal Analysis and Inter-Cultural Studies. *Social Science Information* 6 (4): 7-36.

Merrifield, William A. **HAVE**
1983a. Comments on Kinship Notation. In *Gods, Heroes, Kinsmen: Ethnographic Studies from Irian Jaya, Indonesia*, edited by William R. Merrifield, Marilyn Gregerson and Danial C. Ajamiseba. Pp. 177-190. Jayapura: Cenderawasih University and Dallas, TX: International Museum of Cultures.

Merrifield, William R.

1983b. On the Formal Analysis of Kinship Terminologies. In *Essays In Honor of Charles F. Hockett*. Cornell Linguistic Contributions. Vol. 4, edited by F. van Coetsem and L. R. Waugh. Pp. 371-404. Leiden: E. J. Brill.

Nogle, Lawrence E.

1974. *Method and Theory in the Semantics and Cognition of Kinship Terminology*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. 132 P.

Nogle, Lawrence E.

1974. *Method and Theory in the Semantics and Cognition of Kinship Terminology*. The Hague and Paris: Mouton.

Oden, G. C., and L. L. Lopes.

1980. Kin Search: Answering Questions about Relations among Relatives. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory* 6 (5): 479-491.

Overing, Joanna.

HAVE

1987. Translation as a Creative Process: The Power of the Name. In *Comparative Anthropology*, edited by Ladislav Holy. Pp. 70-87. Oxford: Basil Blackwell. [Includes critique of the ethnosemantics of kin terms.]

Psalthas, George.

1968. Ethnomethods and Phenomenology. *Social Research* 35: 500-520.

Reprinted as *Ethnoscience and Ethnomethodology* in: *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 206-222. San Francisco: Chandler, 1972. [More on color terms, but has kin terms research as a background.]

Renner, Egon.

1980. *Die Kognitive Anthropologie: Aufbau und Grundlagen eines Ethnologisch-Linguistischen Paradigmas*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.

Review: Kronenfeld 1983.

Scheffler, Harold W.

HAVE

1972. Kinship Semantics. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1: 309-328.

Scheffler, Harold W.

HAVE

2002. Kinship Terms. In *Lexikologie: Ein Internationales Handbuch zur Natur und Struktur von Wörtern und Wortschätzen = Lexicology: An International Handbook on the Nature and Structure of Words and Vocabularyes*, edited by D. Alan Cruse, Franz Hundsnurscher, Michael Job, and Peter R. Lutzeier. Vol. 2. Pp. 1538-1541. Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter. [Cross-listed in ENCYCLOPEDIA.]

Sturtevant, William C.

HAVE

1964. Studies in Ethnoscience. *American Anthropologist* 66 (2): 99-131. (Special Issue: *Transcultural Studies in Cognition*.)

Reprinted in *Theory in Anthropology: A Source-Book*, edited by Robert A. Manners and David Kaplan. Pp. 475-500. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968; *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps, and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 129-167. San Francisco, etc.: Chandler, 1972.

Tyler, Stephen A. **HAVE**
1966. Whose Kinship Reckoning? Comments on Buchler. *American Anthropologist* 68 (2, pt. 1): 513-516.

Tyler, Stephen A. **HAVE**
1969. The Myth of P: Epistemology and Formal Analysis. *American Anthropologist* 71 (1): 71-78.

Wallace, Anthony F. C., John Atkins. **HAVE**
1960. The Meaning of Kinship Terms. *American Anthropologist* 62 (1): 58-80.

Reprinted in: *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. Pp. 345-369. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969; *Selected Papers from the American Anthropologist, 1946-1970*. Pp. 272-294. Washington, D.C.: American Anthropological Association, 1976.

Werner, Oswald.
1966. Pragmatics and Ethnoscience. *Anthropological Linguistics* 8 (8): 42-65.

Werner, Oswald. **HAVE**
1972. Ethnoscience 1972. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1: 271-308.

Winkelman, John H. **HAVE**
1975. Semantic Representation of Kinship Systems. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 4 (2): 133-140. [Comparison of associate, semantic feature, logical predicate and algebraic modes of the representation of kin terminologies.]

Woolford, Ellen. **HAVE**
1984. Universals and Rule Options in Kinship Terminology: A Synthesis of Three Formal Approaches. *American Ethnologist* 11 (4): 771-790. (Special Issue: *Social Structure and Social Relations*.)

ALGEBRAIC and MATHEMATICAL METHODS

Ascher, Marcia, and Robert Ascher.
1986. Ethnomathematics. *History of Science* 24 (64, pt. 2): 125-144. [Includes discussion of Australian marriage rules.]

Atkins, John R.

1959. The Cardinality of a Kin Relationship. *Philadelphia Anthropological Society Bulletin* 13 (1): 7-10.

Atkins, John R.

1974a. Consanguineal Distance Measures: A Mathematical Analysis. In *Mathematical Models of Social and Cognitive Structures: Contributions to the Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 1-10. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Atkins, John R.

HAVE

1974b. On the Fundamental Consanguineal Numbers and Their Structural Basis. *American Ethnologist* 1 (1): 1-31.

Atkins, John R.

1974c. GRAFIK: A Multipurpose Kinship Metalanguage. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 27-51. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Atkins, John R.

1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 390-391.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1970. *An Axiomatic Theory of Marriage*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, Los Angeles.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1973. Structural Statistics: Models Relating Demography and Social Structure, with Applications to Apache and Hopi. *Social Biology* 20 (4): 421-426.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1974. Genealogical Mathematics: Observations on a Conference and Prospects for the Future. *Social Science Information* 13 (4/5): 45-57.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1974b. Statistical Theory of Marriage Structures. In *Mathematical Models of Social and Cognitive Structures: Contributions to the Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 11-27. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1976a. *Mathematical Foundations of Social Anthropology*. The Hague: Mouton.

Review: Cargal 1977.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1976. Note on a Category Arising Naturally in Marriage Theory. *Social Science Information* 15 (4/5): 823-829.

Ballonoff, Paul A.

1983. Theory of Lineage Organizations. *American Anthropologist* 85 (1): 70-91.

Barnard, Alan

1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 391.

Boyd, John P.

1966. *The Algebra of Kinship*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Michigan. 105 P.

Boyd, John P.

1968. Mathematical Reasoning and Mathematical Conventions. *American Anthropologist* 70 (1): 107. **HAVE**

Boyd, John P.

1969. The Algebra of Group Kinship. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* 6 (1): 139-167.

Boyd, John P.

1972. Erratum. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* 9: 339.

Brody, Baruch.

1967. *The Rise of the Algebra of Logic*. Ph.D. dissertation. Princeton University. [Pp. 176-210: "The Beginnings of the Theory of Relations."]

Buchler, Ira, and Michael Fischer.

1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 391-392.

Bush, Robert R.

1963. Appendix III : An Algebraic Treatment of Rules of Marriage and Descent. In *An Anatomy of Kinship*, by Harrison C. White. Pp. 159-172. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.

Carroll, Michael P.

1973. Applying Heider's Theory of Cognitive Balance to Claude Levi-Strauss. *Sociometry* 36 (3): 301.[Graph method applied to Lévi-Strauss's "atom of kinship."]
HAVE

Coult, Allan D.

1968. Uses and Abuses of Computers in Anthropology. In *Calcul et Formalisation dans les Sciences de l'Homme*. Pp. 21-29. Paris.

Coult, Allan D., and Richard R. Randolph.

1965. Computer Methods for Analyzing Genealogical Space. *American Anthropologist* 67 (1): 21-29. **HAVE**

Courrège, Philippe. **HAVE**
1965. Un modèle mathématique des structures élémentaires de parenté. *L'Homme* 5 (3-4): 248-290.

Translated as "A Mathematical Model of the Structure of Kinship" in: *Genetics and Social Structure: Mathematical Structuralism in Population Genetics and Social Theory*, edited by Paul Ballonoff. Pp. 288-338. Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, 1974.

Cunningham, Sally J. **HAVE**
1996. Machine Learning Applications in Anthropology: Automated Discovery over Kinship Structures. *Computers and the Humanities* 30 (6): 401-406.

Cuisenier, Jean.
1968. L'utilisation des calculatrices électroniques dans l'étude des systèmes de parenté. In *Calcul et Formalisation dans les Sciences de l'Homme*. Pp. 31-46. Paris: Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Davison, Charles. **HAVE**
1886. Algebraic Notation of Kinship. *Nature* 34 (885): 571. [In response to Galton's system.]

DeMeur, G.
1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 392.

Duchamp, Thomas E., and Paul A. Ballonoff.
1974. Matrix Methods in the Theory of Marriage Networks. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 53-62. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Eyde, David B.
1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 392-393.

Findler, N. V.
1973. Kinship Structures Revisited. *Behavioral Science* 18: 68-71.

Findler, N. V., and W. R. McKinzie.
1969. On a Computer Program That Generates and Queries Kinship Structures. *Behavioral Science* 14: 334-343.

Gilbert, John P., and Eugene A. Hammel. **HAVE**
1966. Computer Simulation and the Analysis of Problems in Kinship and Social Structure. *American Anthropologist* 68 (1): 71-93.

Gilbert, John P.

1971. Computer Methods in Kinship Studies. In *Explorations in Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Paul Kay. Pp 127-138. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gould, Sydney H.

2000. *A New System for the Formal Analysis of Kinship*, edited by David B. Kronenfeld. Lanham, etc.: University Press of America.

Gould, Sydney H., and Pin-Hsiung Liu.

1976. Mathematical Analysis of Genealogical Spaces. *Bulletin of the Visiting Scholars Association, Harvard-Yenching Institute, Harvard University, China Branch* 13: 27-44. Taipei.

Gould, Sydney H., and Pin-Hsiung Liu.

1984. Fundamentals of Kinship Theory. *Bulletin of the Institute of Ethnology, Academia Sinica* 57: 157-233.

Greechie, Richard J., and Martin Ottenheimer.

1972. *On the Mathematical Organization of Social Relationships. I. Kinship Terminology*. Manhattan, KA: Kansas State University, Department of Mathematics, Technical Report 20.

Greechie, Richard J., and Martin Ottenheimer.

1974. An Introduction to a Mathematical Approach to the Study of Kinship. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 63-84. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Gregory, C. A.

1986. A Matrix Approach to the Calculus of Kinship Relations. In *New Trends in Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Gisele De Meur. Pp. 139-166. London: Routledge.

Hage, Per.

1976. The Atom of Kinship as a Directed Graph. *Man* 11 (4): 558-568.

HAVE

Hage, Per, and Frank Harary.

1982. On Reciprocity in Kinship Relations. *Cambridge Anthropology* 7 (2): 39-43.

HAVE

Hage, Per, and Frank Harary.

1998. Applications of the Minimum Spanning Tree Problem to Network Analysis. In *Kinship, Networks, and Exchange*, edited by Thomas Schweizer and Douglas R. White. Pp. 251-260. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hage, Per, and Frank Harary.

2002. Qué es un hipercubo? Un código binario para relaciones de parentesco. In *Análisis de Redes: Aplicaciones en Ciencias Sociales*, edited by Jorge G. Mendieta and Samuel

Schmidt. Pp. 15-22. México: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas.

Hamberger, Klaus, Michael Houseman, Isabelle Daillant, Douglas R. White, and Laurent Barry.

2004. Matrimonial Ring Structures. *Mathématiques et Sciences Humaines/Mathematics and Social Sciences* 168: 83-119.

Hammel, Eugene A.

1997. Testing the Validity of Kinship Microsimulation. *Mathematical Computer Modelling* 26 (6): 89-104.

Harary, Frank, and Douglas R. White.

2001. P-Systems: A Structural Model for Kinship Studies. *Connections* 24 (2): 22-33.

Harris, Robert T.

1974. From Relations to Groups: A Formal Treatment of the Underlying Logic of Some Group-Theoretic Models of Kinship. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 85-109. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Houseman, Michael, and Douglas R. White.

1996. Structures réticulaires de la pratique matrimoniale. *L'Homme* 36: 59-85.

Jagers, Peter.

1982. How Probable Is It to Be First Born, and Other Branching-Process Applications to Kinship Problems. *Mathematical Biosciences* 59 (1): 1-15.

Jorion, Paul.

1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 393.

Kemeny, John G., J. Laurie Snell, and Gerald L. Thompson.

1957. *Introduction to Finite Mathematics*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

Kronenfeld, David B.

HAVE

1976. Computer Analysis of Skewed Kinship Terminologies. *Language* 52 (6): 891-917.

Lambek, Joachim.

HAVE

1999. Binary Relations in the Social and Mathematical Sciences. In *Logic and Foundations of Mathematics: Selected Contributed Papers of the 10th International Congress of Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science, Florence, August 1995*. Pp. 155-163. New York: Springer. [The coming of age of relations theory in mathematics and its parallel in the study of kinship systems.]

Lawvere, William F.

HAVE

1999. Kinship and Mathematical Categories. In *Language, Logic, and Concepts: Essays in Memory of John Macnamara*, edited by Ray Jackendoff, Paul Bloom, and Karen Wynn. Pp. 411-425. Cambridge, MA, and London: MIT Press.

Lehman, F. K. (U Chit Hlaing).

1985. Cognition and Computation: On Being Sufficiently Abstract. In *Directions in Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Janet W. D. Dougherty. Pp. 19-48. Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press. [Pp. 23-25: specifically on genealogical space.]

Lehman, F. K. (F. K. L. Chit Hlaing).

HAVE

1993. The Relationship Between Genealogical and Terminological Structure in Kinship. *Journal of Quantitative Anthropology* 4: 95-122.

Lehman F. K. (F. K. L. Chit Hlaing).

HAVE

2001. Aspects of a Formalist Theory of Kinship. *Anthropological Theory* 1 (2): 212-238.

Lehman, F. K., and K. Witz.

1974. Prolegomena to a Formal Theory of Kinship. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 111-133. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Leibniz, Gottfried.

HAVE

1964. The Relational Theory of Space and Time. In *Problems of Space and Time*, edited by J. J. C. Smart. Pp. 89-98. New York: Macmillan. [P. 93: on genealogical space.]

Liu, Pin-Hsiung.

1968. Theory of Groups of Permutations, Matrices and Kinship: A Critique of Mathematical Approaches to Prescriptive Marriage Systems. *Bulletin of the Institute of Ethnology, Academia Sinica* 26: 29-40.

Liu, Pin-Hsiung.

1986. *Foundations of Kinship Mathematics*. Taipei, Taiwan: Institute of Ethnology, Academia Sinica. 343 P.

Reviews: Lausch 1987; Romney 1987; Meur 1988a; Parkin 1988; Read 1991.

Livingstone, Frank B.

1965. Mathematical Models of Marriage Systems. *Man* 65: 149-152.

Lorrain, François.

1975. *Réseaux Sociaux et Classifications Sociales: Essai sur l'Algèbre et la Géométrie des Structures Sociales*. Paris: Hermann. [Pp. 107-190: models of Ambrym and Australian kinship.]

Lucich, Peter.

1991. Beyond Formalism: Group Theory in the Symmetries of Culture. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology* 16 (3): 221-264. [Includes discussion of kinship systems.]

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1879. On a Calculus of Relationship. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 10 (104, May): 224-232.

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1880. Algebra of Relationship. Part II. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 40 (108, December): 5-13.

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1881a. Algebra of Relationship. Part III. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 40 (109, March): 162-173.

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1881b. An Analysis of Relationship. In *Mathematical Questions with Their Solutions*, from the "Educational Times," edited by W. J. C. Miller. Pp. 78-81. London: Hodgson & Son.

MacFarlane, Alexander.
1881c. Dr. A. Macfarlane's Analysis of Relationships. *Philosophical Magazine*, Ser. 5, 11 (70): 436-446.

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1882. Analysis of Relationships of Consanguinity and Affinity. *Journal of the Anthropological Institute* 12: 46-64.

Reprinted in: *Genetics and Social Structure: Mathematical Structuralism in Population Genetics and Social Theory*, edited by Paul Ballonoff. Pp. 13-42. Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, 1974.

MacFarlane, Alexander. **HAVE**
1888. Problem in Relationship. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 15 (January 16): 116-117.

McKinsey, J. C. C. **HAVE**
1940. Postulates for the Calculus of Binary Relations. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 5 (3): 85-97.

O'Neil, D. H.
1987. Computerized Systems for Recording Kinship Data: A Review Essay. *Social Science Microcomputer Review* 5 (2): 233-239.

Read, Dwight W.
1974. Kinship Algebra: A Mathematical Study of Kinship Structure. In *Genealogical Mathematics*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 135-160. Paris and The Hague: Mouton.

Read, Dwight W.

1998. Kinship Based Demographic Simulation of Societal Processes. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation* 1 (1). <http://www.soc.surrey.ac.uk/JASSS/1/1/1.html>

Read, Dwight W.

2000. Formal Analysis of Kinship Terminologies and its Relationship to What Constitutes Kinship. *Mathematical Anthropology and Cultural Theory: An International Journal* 1 (1): 1-46.

Read, Dwight W.

2001a. Formal Analysis of Kinship Terminologies and Its Relationship to What Constitutes Kinship. *Anthropological Theory* 1 (2): 239-267. **HAVE**

Read, Dwight W.

2001b. What is Kinship? In *The Cultural Analysis of Kinship: The Legacy of David Schneider and Its Implications for Anthropological Relativism*, edited by Richard Feinberg and Martin Ottenheimer. Pp. 78-117. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Read, Dwight W.

2002a. Mathematical Modeling Issues in Analytical Representations of Human Societies. In *Proceedings of the Austrian Society for Cybernetic Studies*.

Read, Dwight W.

2002b. A Multi-Trajectory, Competition Model of Emergent Complexity in Human Social Organization. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99 (Suppl. 3): 7251-7256.

Read, Dwight W.

2003. The Emergence of Order from Disorder as a Form of Self-Organization. *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory* 9 (3): 195-225. [An ideal kinship system whose complexity is independent of the size of the group.]

Read, Dwight W., and Clifford Behrens.

1988. Kinship Algebra Expert System (KAES). *Mathematical Social Sciences* 16 (2): 218-220.

Read, Dwight W. and F. K. L. Chit Hlaing,

2005. The Read-Lehman Letters on Kinship Mathematics (January 4, 2005). *Human Complex Systems. Mathematical Anthropology and Cultural Theory*. Paper 0105DWR-FKL.

Reid, Russell. M.

1967. Marriage Systems and Algebraic Group Theory: A Critique of White's *An Anatomy of Kinship*. *American Anthropologist* 69 (2): 171-178.

Ruhemann, Barbara. **HAVE**
1967. Purpose and Mathematics. A Problem in the Analysis of Classificatory Kinship Systems. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 123 (1): 83-124.

Rustad, J. A.
1981. Comment on *More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange*, by Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 393.

Selz(-Lauriere), Marion.
1988. Les mathématiques en ethnologie. *L'Homme* 28: 147-155.

Selz, Marion.
1994. Traitement informatique de données généalogiques: Le logiciel «GEN-PAR». *L'Homme* 34: 129-136.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E. **HAVE**
1981. More Complex Formulae of Generalized Exchange. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 377-399.

Comments: Atkins 1981; Barnard 1981; Buchler and Fischer 1981; DeMeur 1981; Eyde 1981; Jorion 1981; Rustad 1981.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1981. Reply. *Current Anthropology* 22 (4): 393-398.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1983a. Age Metrics and Twisted Cylinders: Predictions from a Structural Model. *American Ethnologist* 10 (3): 585-604.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1983b. Circulating Connubium and Transitive Ranking: A Second Solution to Leach's Problem. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 139(1): 140-151.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1987. *The Straight Road to Complexity: Symmetries of Restricted Exchange*. Leiden: University of Leiden, Institute of Cultural and Social Studies. (Working Papers 79.)

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1990. *Representing Kinship: Simple Models of Elementary Structures*. Leiden: Leiden University. 328 P. (Ph.D. dissertation, University of Leiden.)

Reviews: Meur 1988b; Parkin 1991.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.
1998. On the Formal Analysis of "Dravidian," "Iroquois," and "Generational" Varieties as Nearly Associative Combinations. In *Transformations of Kinship*, edited by Maurice

Godelier, Thomas R. Trautmann, and Franklin E. Tjon Sie Fat. Pp. 59-93. Washington and London: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Tjon Sie Fat, Franklin E.

1999. Trajectoires et transformations: Les automates d'arbres binaires pour l'analyse des variations terminologiques. In *La Production du Social: Autour de Maurice Godelier*, edited by Philippe Descola, Jacques Hamel and Pierre Lemonnier. Pp. 419-432. Paris: Fayard.

Tornay, Serge.

HAVE

1968. Le test des triades dans l'études de nomenclatures de parenté. *Journal de la Société des Africanistes* 39 (1): 80-90.

Tornay, Serge, and Hervé Raynaud.

HAVE

1968. Test des triades et parenté: Essai methodologique sur un exemple Kalenjin et Kikuyu. *Mathématique et Sciences Humaines* 7 (24): 17-34. [Cross-listed in AFRICA.]

White, Douglas R.

HAVE

2004. Ring Cohesion Theory in Marriage and Social Networks. *Mathématiques et Sciences Humaines. Mathematics and Social Sciences* 168: 59-82.

White, Douglas R., and Karl P. Reitz.

1983. Graph and Semigroup Homomorphisms. *Social Networks* 5: 193-234.

White, Harrison C.

1963. *An Anatomy of Kinship: Mathematical Models for Structures of Cumulated Roles*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.

Excerpted under the title "Models of Kinship with Prescribed Marriage" in: *Readings in Mathematical Social Science*, edited by Paul F. Lazarsfeld and Neil W. Henry. Pp. 195-205. Chicago, Science Research Associates, 1966; *Genetics and Social Structure: Mathematical Structuralism in Population Genetics and Social Theory*, edited by Paul Ballonoff. Pp. 339-342. Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, 1974.

Reviews: Somers 1964; Naroll 1965; Snell J. 1965.

COMPONENTIAL ANALYSIS

Badalucco, Giuseppe.

1986. Analisi componenziale della terminologia di parentela: Saggio delle capacità espressive e calcolistiche di un nuovo metalinguaggio parentale. *L'Uomo* 10 (1).

Burling, Robbins.

HAVE

1964a. Cognition and Componential Analysis: God's Truth or Hocus-Pocus. *American Anthropologist* 66 (1): 20-28.

Comments: Frake 1964; Hammel 1964; Hymes 1964.
Reply: Burling 1964b.

Reprinted in: *Theory in Anthropology: A Source-Book*, edited by Robert A. Manners and David Kaplan. Pp. 514-523. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968; *Readings in Kinship and Social Structure*, edited by Nelson Graburn. Pp. 279-285. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.

Burling, Robbins. **HAVE**
1964b. Burling's Rejoinder. *American Anthropologist* 66 (1): 120-122.

Reprinted as "Rejoinder (to Hymes and Frake)" in: *Theory in Anthropology: A Source-Book*, edited by Robert A. Manners and David Kaplan. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968

Čech, Claude G., and Edward J. Shoben.
1980. Componential Reasoning in Kinship. *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 109 (4): 393-421.

Chafe, Wallace L. **HAVE**
1962. Phonetics, Semantics and Language. *Language* 38: 335-344. [Pp. 340-342: componential analysis in phonology and semantics.]

Coult, Allan D. **HAVE**
1966. On the Justification of Untested Componential Analyses. *American Anthropologist* 68 (4): 1014-1015.

Reply: Hymes 1966.

Fillenbaum, Samuel, and Amnon Rappoport.
1971. *Structures in the Subjective Lexicon*. New York: Academic Press. [Pp. 58-84: "Kinship terms." A test of the psychological validity of componential analysis.]

Frake, Charles O.
1962. The Ethnographic Study of Cognitive Systems. In *Anthropology and Human Behavior*, edited by T. Gladwin and W. C. Sturtevant. Pp. 72-85. Washington, DC: Anthropological Society of Washington.

Reprinted in: *Readings in the Sociology of Language*, edited by Joshua A. Fishman. Pp. 434-446. The Hague: Mouton; *Theory in Anthropology: A Source-Book*, edited by Robert A. Manners and David Kaplan. Pp. 507-514. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968; *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. Pp. 28-40. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969; *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps, and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 191-205. San Francisco, etc.: Chandler, 1972.

Frake, Charles O. **HAVE**

1964. Further Discussion of Burling. *American Anthropologist* 66 (1): 119.

Reprinted in: *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. P. 432. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969.

Frake, Charles O.

HAVE

1980. Plying Frames Can Be Dangerous: Some Reflections on Methodology in Cognitive Anthropology. *Quarterly Newsletter of the Institute for Comparative Human Development* 1 (3): 1-7.

Reprinted in: *Language and Cultural Description: Essays by Charles O. Frake*. Selected and Introduced by Anwar S. Dil. Pp. 45-60. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1980; *Language, Culture, and Cognition: Anthropological Perspectives*, edited by Ronald W. Casson. Pp. 366-377. New York: Macmillan; London: Collier Macmillan, 1981. [Pp. 51-52: componential analysis.]

Goodenough, Ward H.

HAVE

1956. Componential Analysis and the Study of Meaning. *Language* 32 (1): 195-216.

Reprinted in: *Kinship and Social Organization*, edited by Paul Bohannan and John Middleton. Pp. 93-124. Garden City, N. Y.: Natural History Press, 1968.

Goodenough, Ward H.

1967b. Componential Analysis: Kinship Studies in Cultural Anthropology Are Producing a New Tool for Semantic Analysis. *Science* 156 (3779): 1203-1209.

Reprinted in: *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps, and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 327-342. San Francisco, etc.: Chandler, 1972.

Greenberg, Joseph H.

1957. The Nature and Uses of Linguistic Typologies. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 23 (2): 68-77. [On Lounsbury's componential analysis.]

Hammel, Eugene A.

HAVE

1964. Further Comments on Componential Analysis. *American Anthropologist* 66 (5): 1167-1170.

See Burling 1964a, 1964b; Frake 1964; Hymes 1964.

Reprinted in: *Readings in Kinship and Social Structure*, edited by Nelson Graburn. Pp. 285-288. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.

Hammer, Muriel.

1966. Some Comments on Formal Analysis of Grammatical and Semantic Systems. *American Anthropologist* 68 (1): 362-373. [Includes observations on componential analysis and psychological reality.]

Reprinted in: *Theory in Anthropology: A Source-Book*, edited by Robert A. Manners and David Kaplan. Pp. 523-530. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968.

Harris, Marvin.

1964. *The Nature of Cultural Things*. New York: Random House. [Pp. 160-162: kin terminologies and ethnosemantics.]

Harris, Marvin.

HAVE

1974. Why a Perfect Knowledge of All the Rules One Must Know to Act like a Native Cannot Lead to the Knowledge of How Natives Act. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 30 (4): 242-251.

Huddleston, Rodney.

HAVE

1974. Componential Analysis: The Sememe and the Concept of Distinctiveness. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics* 19 (1): 1-17.

Hymes, Dell H.

HAVE

1964. Discussion of Burling's Paper ("Cognition and Componential Analysis: God's Truth or Hocus-Pocus?"). *American Anthropologist* 66 (1): 116-119.

Reprinted in: *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. Pp. 428-431. New York, 1969.

Hymes, Dell H.

HAVE

1965. On Hammel on Componential Analysis. *American Anthropologist* 67 (5, pt. 1): 1283.

Hymes, Dell H.

HAVE

1966. Reply to Coult. *American Anthropologist* 68 (4): 1015.

See Coult 1966.

Lounsbury, Floyd G.

1954. Meanings of 'Meaning'. In *Psycholinguistics: A Survey of Theory and Research Problems. Report of the 1053 Summer Seminar Sponsored by the Committee on Linguistics and Psychology of the Social Science Research Council*, edited by Charles Osgood and Thomas A. Sebeok. Pp. 171-177. Baltimore: Waverly Press. [Pp. 175-176: Kin term semantics in the light of distinction between denotatum, designatum and significatum.]

Lounsbury, Floyd G.

1960. Similarity and Contiguity Relations in Language and in Culture. In *Report of the 10th Round Table Meeting on Linguistics and Language Studies*, edited by Richard Harrell. Pp. 123-128. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press. (Georgetown

University Monograph Series on Languages and Linguistics 12.) [P. 128: componential analysis of kinship; kin terms as a lexical paradigm.]

Lounsbury, Floyd G.

1968. One Hundred Years of Anthropological Linguistics. In *One Hundred Years of Anthropology*, edited by John O. Brew. Pp. 150-225, 256-264. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. [Pp. 223-224: on componential analysis of kin terms.]

Noricks, J. S.

1985. Native Speaker Componential Models: A Method for Elicitation. *Ethnology* 24 (1): 57-76.

Pericliev, Vladimir, and Raul E. Valdes-Perez.

HAVE

1998. A Discovery System for Componential Analysis of Kinship Terminologies. In *Proceedings of the XVIth International Congress of Linguists, Paris 1997*. n.p.n. New York: Elsevier Science and Optimedia.

Pericliev, Vladimir, and Raul E. Valdes-Perez.

1998. Automatic Componential Analysis of Kinship Semantics with a Proposed Structural Solution of Multiple Solutions. *Anthropological Linguistics* 40: 272-317.

Piault, Colette.

1964-1968. Introduction à la technique de l'analyse componentielle. *Épistémologie Sociologique* 1-5: 119-137. Paris.

Rubin, Joan.

1968. Bilingual Usage in Paraguay. In *Readings in the Sociology of Language*, edited by Joshua A. Fishman. Pp. 512-530. The Hague: Mouton. [Pp. 515-516: on componential analysis of kinship.]

Wallace, Anthony F. C.

HAVE

1961. On Being Just Complicated Enough. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 47 (4) 458-464. [Semantic complexity of kin terminologies measured through componential analysis.]

Wallace, Anthony F. C.

1962. Culture and Cognition. *Science* 135: 351-357.

Reprinted in: *Culture and Cognition: Rules, Maps, and Plans*, edited by James P. Spradley. Pp. 111-126. San Francisco, etc.: Chandler, 1972; *Language, Culture, and Cognition: Anthropological Perspectives*, edited by Ronald W. Casson. Pp. 67-74. New York: Macmillan; London: Collier Macmillan, 1981. [Introduction to componential analysis. Examples from American English kin terms.]

Wallace, Anthony F. C.

HAVE

1965. The Problem of the Psychological Validity of Componential Analyses.

American Anthropologist 67 (5, pt. 2): 229-248. (Special Issue: Formal Semantic Analysis.)

EQUIVALENCE-RULES ANALYSIS

Coult, Allan D. **HAVE**
1966. A Simplified Method for the Transformational Analysis of Kinship Terms. *American Anthropologist* 68 (6): 1476-1483.

Coult, Alan D. **HAVE**
1967. Lineage Solidarity, Transformational Analysis, and the Meaning of Kinship Terminologies. *Man* 2 (1): 26-47.

Fjellman, Stephen M. **HAVE**
1978. The Extensionist Hypothesis and Marking Rules in the Formal Analysis of Kinship Terminologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 34 (4): 540-560.

Hammel, Eugene A. **HAVE**
1966. Rejoinder to Coult. *American Anthropologist* 68 (6): 1483-1488.

Keesing, Roger.
1968. Step-Kin, In-Laws and Ethnoscience. *Ethnology* 7 (1): 59-70.

Lounsbury, Floyd G. **HAVE**
1964a. A Formal Account of the Crow- and Omaha-Type Kinship Terminologies. In *Explorations in Cultural Anthropology: Essays in Honor of George Peter Murdock*, edited by Ward H. Goodenough. Pp. 351-393. New York, etc.: McGraw-Hill.

Reprinted in: *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. Pp. 212-254. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969.

Excerpted under the title "The Nature of Formal Analysis" in: *Readings in Kinship and Social Structure*, edited by Nelson Graburn. Pp. 257-271. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.

Lounsbury, Floyd G.
1964b. The Structural Analysis of Kinship Semantics. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Congress of Linguistics*. Cambridge, Mass., August 27-31, 1962. Edited by Horace G. Lunt. Pp. 1073-1093. The Hague: Mouton.

Reprinted in: *Kinship and Social Organization*, edited by Paul Bohannan and John Middleton. Pp. 125-148. Garden City, N. Y.: Natural History Press, 1968; *Cognitive Anthropology*, edited by Stephen A. Tyler. Pp. 193-211. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969; *Man Makes Sense: A Reader in Modern Cultural Anthropology*, selected by Eugene A. Hammel, and William S. Simmons. Pp. 182-200. Boston: Little

and Brown, 1970; *Readings in Kinship and Social Structure*, edited by Nelson Graburn. Pp. 257-258. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.

Lounsbury, Floyd F.

1966. Analyse structurale des termes de parenté. Translated by Delphine Perret. *Langages* 1: 75-99.

Lounsbury, Floyd G.

1969. Language and Culture. In *Language and Philosophy*, edited by Sidney Hook. Pp. 3-29. New York and London: New York University Press. [Meanings of non-European kinship, extensionist analysis.]

Ottenheimer, Martin.

HAVE

1983. Comment on Scheffler's "Theory and Method in Social Anthropology." *American Ethnologist* 10 (1): 174.

See also Scheffler 1983.

Ottenheimer, Martin.

HAVE

1985. Reply to "A Further Note..." *American Ethnologist* 12 (3): 547-549.

See also Scheffler 1985.

Ruddick, Sara.

1969. Extreme Relativism. In *Language and Philosophy*, edited by Sidney Hook. Pp. 41-47. New York and London: New York University Press. [Critique of Lounsbury 1969, or the defense of what he calls "extreme [cultural and linguistic] relativism."]

Scheffler, Harold W.

HAVE

1977. On the 'Rule of Uniform Reciprocals' in Systems of Kin Classification. *Anthropological Linguistics* 19 (6): 245-259.

Scheffler, Harold W.

HAVE

1972. Systems of Kin Classification: A Structural Typology. In *Kinship Studies in the Morgan Centennial Year*, edited by P. Reining. Pp. 113-133. Washington, DC: Anthropological Society of Washington.

Scheffler, Harold W.

HAVE

1982. Theory and Method in Social Anthropology: On the Structures of Systems of Kin Classification. *American Ethnologist* 9 (1): 167-184.

Scheffler, Harold W.

1983. Reply to Ottenheimer. *American Ethnologist* 10 (1): 174-175.

See also Ottenheimer 1983; Scheffler 1983; Scheffler 1985.

Scheffler, Harold W. **HAVE**
1985. A Further Note on Kinship and Logic. *American Ethnologist* 12: 546-547.

Scheffler, Harold W. **HAVE**
1986. Extension Rules and “Generative” Models. *American Ethnologist* 13 (2): 369-370.
[Critique of Woolford 1984.]

Terrades Saborit, I.
1972. Semantica de estructuras de parentesco: Comentario a proposito de la ultima obra de Scheffler y Lounsbury. *Ethnica* 3: 201-212.

GAME THEORY

Eshel, Ilan, Emilia Sansone, and Avner Shaked.
1999. The Emergence of Kinship Behavior in Structured Populations of Unrelated Individuals. *International Journal of Game Theory* 28 (4): 447-463.

GENERATIVE ANALYSIS

Bock, Philip K. **HAVE**
1989. “Say ‘Hello’ to Your Second Cousin Claude”: Kinship Terminology and Recursive Rules. In *General and Amerindian Ethnolinguistics: In Remembrance of Stanley Newman*, edited by Mary Ritchie Key, Henry M. Hoenigswald. Pp. 447-460. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Durbin, M., and M. Saltarelli. **HAVE**
1967. Patterns in Kinship. *Anthropological Linguistics* 9 (3): 6-14.

Langacker, Ronald W.
1969. Mirror Image Rules II: Lexicon and Phonology. *Language* 45 (4): 844-862. [Pp. 844-857: a transformational account of kin terms. Examples from English and Pawnee. Comparison with componential analysis.]

LOGICAL METHODS

Ashworth, E. J.
1967. Joachim Jungius (1587-1657) and the Logic of Relations. *Archiv fur Geschichte der Philosophie* 49: 72-85. [Extensively on the logic of kin categories in comparison with earlier authors.]

Barber, Kenneth.
1971. Meinong’s Hume Studies: Part II. Meinong’s Analysis of Relations. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 31 (4): 564-584.

Bednarek, Alexander R., and Stanislaw M. Ulam.

1976. Generators for Algebras of Relations. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 82: 78.

Bednarek, Alexander R., and Stanislaw M. Ulam.

1978. Projective Algebra and the Calculus of Relations. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 43 (1): 56-64.

Bednarek, Alexander R., and Stanislaw M. Ulam.

1990. On the Theory of Relational Structures and Schemata for Parallel Computation. In *Analogies Between Analogies: The Mathematical Reports of S. M. Ulam and his Los Alamos Collaborators*, edited by A. R. Bednarek and Françoise Ulam. Pp. 477-508. Berkeley, etc.: University of California Press. **HAVE**

Bocheński, I. M.

1956. *Formale Logik*. München: Karl Alber. [T. 2, 434-448: logic of relations, including kin terms.]

English translation: *A History of Formal Logic*, by I. M. Bocheński. Translated by Ivo Thomas. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 1961.

Brower, J. E.

1998. Abelard's Theory of Relations: Reductionism and the Aristotelian Tradition. *Review of Metaphysics* 51: 605-631.

Brower, J. E.

2001. Relations without Polyadic Properties: Albert the Great on the Nature and Ontological Status of Relations. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 83: 225-257.

Carnap, Rudolf.

1929. *Abriss der Logistik, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Relationstheorie und ihrer Anwendungen*. Wien: Julius Springer. [Pp. 40, 87-88, 102-104: on kin terms.] **HAVE**

Castañeda, Hector-Neri.

1982. Leibniz and Plato's *Phaedo* Theory of Relations and Predication. In *Leibniz: Critical and Interpretive Essays*, edited by Michael Hooker. Pp. 124-159. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. [Pp. 147-149: on Leibniz's analysis of "David is the father of Solomon."]

Cavarnos, Constantine.

1975. *The Classical Theory of Relations: A Study in the Metaphysics of Plato, Aristotle and Thomism*. Belmont, MA: Institute for Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies. [Kin terms are treated in the Thomist tradition (pp. 70-80).]

Chrudzimski, A.

2005. Abstraktion und Relationen beim jungen Meinong. *Meinong Studies - Meinong Studien* (2005): 7-62.

Cirese, Alberto M.

1978. A scuola dai logici o a scuola dallo stregone? Proposta di un sistema di notazione logica e calcolo (NLC) delle relazioni di parentela. *L'Uomo* 2 (2): 43-111.

De Morgan, Augustus.

HAVE

1859. On the Syllogism, No. IV, and on the Logic of Relations. *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 10: 331-358.

Reprinted in: *On the Syllogism, and Other Logical Writings*, by Augustus De Morgan. Edited by Peter Heath. Pp. 208-241. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1966.

De Morgan, Augustus.

HAVE

1860. A Question in Logic. *Notes and Queries: A Medium of Inter-Communication for Literary Men, Artists, Antiquaries, Genealogists, etc.* Series 2, vol. 9 (January-June): 25, 184-185. London.

Earman, John.

1977. Perceptions and Relations in the Monadology. *Studia Leibnitiana* 9: 212-230. [P. 213: Leibniz's analysis of "David is the father of Solomon."]

Everett, C. J., and Stanislaw M. Ulam.

HAVE

1946. Projective Algebra I. *American Journal of Mathematics* 68: 77-88.

Reprinted in: *Stanislaw Ulam: Sets, Numbers, and Universes: Selected Works*, edited by W. A. Beyer, J. Mycielski, and G.-C. Rota. Pp. 231-242. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1974.

Flash, Kurt.

1971. Zur Rehabilitierung der Relation. Die Theorie der Beziehung bei Johannes Eriugena. In *Philosophie als Beziehungswissenschaft: Festschrift für Julius Schaaf*, herausgegeben von W. F. Niebel und D. Leisegang. Pp. 1-23. Frankfurt am Main: Horst Heiderhoff. [P. 9: on the relation "father – son."]

Gottschall, Carl.

1980. *An Examination and Evaluation of the Historical Importance of the Principal Innovative Contributions to Formal Logic of Augustus de Morgan*. Ph.D. dissertation. New York University. [Pp. 120-238: on de Morgan's theory of relations and its later developments.]

Greenberg, Joseph H.

HAVE

1949. The Logical Analysis of Kinship. *Philosophy of Science* 16 (1): 58-64.

Review: Borgers 1949.

Halsted, George B.

HAVE

1884. De Morgan as Logician. *Journal of Speculative Philosophy* 18 (1): 1-9. [Includes a discussion of De Morgan's contribution to the logic of relations, with kin terms as examples.]

Hawkins, Benjamin S. **HAVE**
1979. A Reassessment of Augustus De Morgan's Logic of Relations: A Documentary Reconstruction. *International Logic Review* 19-20: 32-61.

Hawkins, Benjamin S. **HAVE**
1995. De Morgan, Victorian Syllogistic and Relational Logic. *Modern Logic* 5 (2): 131-166.

Henninger, Mark G.
1989. *Relations: Medieval Theories 1250-1325*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. [Kin term logic *passim*.]

Herzberger, Hans. **HAVE**
1981. Peirce's Remarkable Theorem. In *Pragmatism and Purpose: Essays Presented to Thomas A. Gouge*, edited by L. W. Sumner, John G. Slater, and Fred Wilson. Pp. 41-58. Toronto: University of Toronto Press. [Mostly on the triad and relative product, but relational nouns are discussed too.]

Hoffmann, Hans. **HAVE**
1959. Symbolic Logic and the Analysis of Social Organization. *Behavioral Science* 4 (4): 288-298. [Symbolic logic analysis of Lounsbury's 1956 Pawnee data.]

Iribarren, Isabel.
2002. The Scotist Background in Hervaeus Natalis's Interpretation of Thomism. *Thomist* 66 (2002): 607-627. [Includes a lengthy discussion of relation in Thomas Aquinas, with reference to kin terms.]

Kneale, William, and Martha Kneale.
1962. *The Development of Logic*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. [Pp. 427-434: "The Theory of Relations: De Morgan and Peirce."]

Lewis, C. I.
1918. *A Survey of Symbolic Logic*. Berkeley: University of California Press. [Pp. 43-51: on De Morgan's logic of relations; 219-221: on the application of the Boolean algebra to relations; 269-279: logic of relations as compared to the logic of classes.]

Lorrain, Francois.
1974. Social Structure, Social Classifications, and the Logic of Analogy. In *Mathematical Models of Social and Cognitive Structures: Contributions to the Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Paul A. Ballonoff. Pp. 89-101. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Lucey, Kenneth G. **HAVE**
1979. The Ancestral Relation Without Classes. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 20 (2): 281-284.

MacFarlane, Alexander.
1916. *Lectures on Ten British Mathematicians of the Nineteenth Century*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. [P. 30: on De Morgan's study of the logic of relations.]

McKinsey, J. C. C.
1940. Postulates for the Calculus of Binary Relations. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 5 (3): 85-97.

McKinsey, J. C. C.
1946. On the Representations of Projective Algebras. *American Journal of Mathematics* 70: 375-384.

Marinozzi, C.
1964. La relazione trascendentale in S. Alberto M. *Laurentianum* 5: 71-113.

Marinozzi, C.
1965. La realtà delle relazioni secondo S. Alberto Magno. *Laurentianum* 6 (1965): 31-72.

Marmura, Michael E. **HAVE**
1975. Avicenna's Chapter, "On the Relative," in the *Metaphysics* of the *Shifā*. In *Essays on Islamic Philosophy and Science*, edited by George F. Hourani. Pp. 83-99. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Martin, R. M. **HAVE**
1978. Of Servants, Lovers and Benefactors: Peirce's *Algebra of Relatives* of 1870. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 7: 27-48.

Meinong, Alexius.
1882. Hume Studien II. Zur Relationstheorie. *Sitzungsbereiche der Philosophisch-Historisch Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 101: 573-752.

Meinong, Alexius.
1891. Zur psychologie der Komplexionen und Relationen. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie und Physiologie der Sinnesorgane* 2: 245-265.

Merrill, Daniel D.
1978. De Morgan, Peirce and the Logic of Relations. *Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society* 14 (4): 247-284.

Merrill, Daniel D. **HAVE**
1990. *Augustus De Morgan and the Logic of Relations*. Dordrecht, etc.: Kluwer.

Mugnai, Massimo.

1992. *Leibniz' Theory of Relations*. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner. [The logic of kin terms passim.]

Peirce, Charles S.

1873. Description of a Notation for the Logic of Relatives, resulting from an Amplification of the Conception of Boole's Calculus of Logic. *Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 9 (2): 317-378.

Reprinted in: *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, edited by Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss. Vol. 3. Exact Logic. Pp. 27-99. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1933.

Peirce, Charles S.

1892. The Critic of Arguments II: The Reader is Introduced to Relatives. *Open Court* 6: 3416-3418.

Reprinted in: *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, edited by Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss. Vol. 3. Exact Logic. Pp. 415-424. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Peirce, Charles S.

1897. Note B. The Logic of Relatives. In *Studies in Logic by Members of the John Hopkins University*. Pp. 187-203. Boston: Little, Brown.

Reprinted under title "The Logic of Relatives" in: *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, edited by Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss. Vol. 3. Exact Logic. Pp. 195-209. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Peirce, Charles S.

1897. The Logic of Relatives. *Monist* 7: 161-217.

Reprinted in: *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*, edited by Charles Hartshorne and Paul Weiss. Vol. 3. Exact Logic. Pp. 288-345. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1933.

Peirce, Charles S.

1982 (1886). An Elementary Account of the Logic of Relatives. In *Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition*. Vol. 5. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Picardi, Eva.

HAVE

1992. The Chemistry of Concepts. In *Language and Earth: Elective Affinities Between the Emerging Sciences of Linguistics and Geology*, edited by Bernd Naumann, Frans Plank, and Gottfried Hofbauer. Pp. 125-146. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins. [On the use of chemistry metaphors in Peirce's logic of relations.]

Pott, August F.

1827. *De Relationibus quae Praepositionibus in Linguis Denotantur Dissertatio*. Cellis: Schulz. [Pp. 17-23: relations in grammar; 34: relational nouns.] **HAVE**

Prior, A. N.

1955. *Formal Logic*. Oxford: Clarendon. [Pp. 152-156: on De Morgan's logic of relations. Kin terms as examples.]

Quinlan, J. R.

1990. Learning Logical Definitions from Relations. *Machine Learning* 5: 239-266.

Reid, Thomas.

1863. A Brief Account of Aristotle's Logic. In *The Works of Thomas Reid*, edited by William Hamilton. Vol. 2. Edinburgh: Maclachlan & Stewart. [P. 697: on the convertibility of categories "father" and "son."]

Rogers, Jean H.

1976. A Note on Disjunctive Categories in Kinship Terminology. *Anthropological Linguistics* 18 (4): 183-185. **HAVE**

Russell, Bertrand.

1903. *The Principles of Mathematics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Vol. 1, pp. 23-26, 95-100: the logic of relations.] **HAVE**

Russell, Stuart J., and Peter Norvig.

1995. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. [Pp. 197-198: "Kinship Domain."]

Schröder, Ernst.

1895. *Vorlesungen über die Algebra der Logik. T. 3. Algebra und Logik der Relative*. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner.

Styazhkin, N. I.

1969. *History of Mathematical Logic from Leibniz to Peano*. Cambridge, MA, and London: University of Cambridge Press. [Pp. 161-169: De Morgan and the logic of relations.] **HAVE**

Tarski, Alfred.

1941a. On the Calculus of Relations. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 6 (3): 73-89.

Tarski, Alfred.

1941b. *Introduction to Logic and to the Methodology of Deductive Sciences*. New York: Oxford University Press. [Pp. 87-116: on the logic of relations, including pp. 109-111 on kin terms.]

Weinberg, Julius R.

1965. *Abstraction, Relation, and Induction: Three Essays in the History of Thought*. Madison and Milwaukee: University of Wisconsin Press. [Pp. 61-120: the concept of relation in antiquity, the Middle Ages and during the Enlightenment.] **HAVE**

Whelden, Roy. **HAVE**
2000. The Origins and Use of the Theory of Relations: Peirce, De Morgan and Music Analysis. *Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society* 36 (1): 49-73.

NETWORK ANALYSIS

Albertsen, K.
1995. The Extinction of Families. *International Statistical Review* 63 (2): 234-239.

Bearman, Peter.
1997. Generalized Exchange. *American Journal of Sociology* 102 (5): 1383-1415.

Benoiston de Châtauneuf, Louis F. de.
1847. Mémoire sur la durée des familles nobles de France. *Memoire de l'Académie Royale des Sciences Morale et Politiques de l'Institut de France* 5: 753-794.

Bienaymé, Irénée-Jules.
1845. De la loi de multiplication et de la durée des familles. *Extraits de la Société Philomatique de Paris* 5: 37-39.

Reprinted as an Appendix to Kendall 1975.

Bru, Bernard, Francois Jongmans, and Eugene Seneta.
1992. I.J. Bienaymé: Family Information and Proof of the Criticality Theorem. *International Statistical Review* 60 (2): 177-183.

Brudner, Lilyan, and Douglas R. White.
1997. Class, Property and Structural Endogamy: Visualizing Networked Histories. *Theory and Society* 26: 161-208.

Cournot, A. A.
1847. *De l'Origine et des Limites de la Correspondance entre l'Algèbre et la Géométrie*. Paris: Hachette. [§ 36: an exposition of Bienaymé's Criticality Theorem.]

Reprinted by Vrin, 1989.

Foster, Brian L., and Steven B. Seidman.
1979. Network Structure and the Kinship Perspective. *American Ethnologist* 8: 329-355.

Foster, Brian L., and Steven B. Seidman. **HAVE**

1989. A Formal Unification of Anthropological Kinship and Social Network Methods. In *Research Methods in Social Network Analysis*, edited by Linton C. Freeman, Douglas R. White and A. Kimball Romney. Pp. 41-59. Fairfax, VA: George Mason University Press.

Galton, Francis, and H. W. Watson.

1875. On the Probable Extinction of Families. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 4: 138-144.

Harary, Frank.

1982. Oedipus Loves His Mother. *Behavioral Science* 27: 162-163.

Harary, Frank, and Douglas R. White.

2001. White P-Systems: A Structural Model for Kinship Studies. *Connections* 24 (2): 35-46.

Heyde, C. C.

1974. On Estimating the Variance of the Offspring Distribution in a Simple Branching Process. *Advances in Applied Probability* 3: 421- 433.

Houseman, Michael, and Douglas R. White.

1996. Structures réticulaires de la pratique matrimoniale. *L'Homme* 139: 59-85.

Houtman, Gustaaf.

HAVE

1995. Interview with Michael Fischer: On Computing and Anthropology. *Anthropology Today* 11 (2): 5-8. [P. 6: applying computer to kinship reckoning.]

Kendall, David G.

1975. The Genealogy of Genealogy: Branching Processes Before (and after) 1873. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 7: 225-253.

Meur, Gisèle de, and Alain Gottcheiner.

2000. Prescriptive Kinship Systems, Permutations, Groups and Graphs. *Mathematical Anthropology and Cultural Theory* 1 (1).

Ore, Oystein.

1960. Sex in Graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 11: 533-539.

Seidman, Stephen B.

1980-1981. Structures Induced by Collections of Subsets: A Hypergraph Approach. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 1 (4): 381-396. [Critique of a narrow dyadic approach to kin networks.]

Truex, Gregory F.

1981. Kinship and Network: A Cognitive Model of Interaction. *Social Networks* 3 (1): 53-70.

White, Douglas R.
1997. Structural Endogamy and the Graphe de Parenté. *Mathématiques, Informatique et Sciences Humaines* 137: 107-125.

White, Douglas R.
1999. Controlled Simulation of Marriage Systems. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation* 2 (3).

White, Douglas R., Vladimir Batagelj, and Andrej Mrvar.
1999. Analyzing Large Kinship and Marriage Networks. *Social Science Computer Review* 17: 245-274.

White, Douglas R., and Michael Houseman.
2002. The Navigability of Strong Ties: Small Worlds, Tie Strength and Network Topology. *Complexity* 8 (1): 72-81. (Special Issue: Networks and Complexity.)

White, Douglas R., and Paul Jorion. **HAVE**
1992. Representing and Computing Kinship: A New Approach. *Current Anthropology* 33 (4): 454-463.

White, Douglas R., and Paul Jorion.
1996. Kinship Networks and Discrete Structure Theory: Applications and Implications. *Social Networks* 18: 267-314. [Kinship systems as a test case.]

OPTIMALITY THEORY

Jones, Doug. **HAVE**
2003a. The Generative Psychology of Kinship. Pt. 1. Cognitive Universals and Evolutionary Psychology. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 24: 303-319.

Jones, Doug. **HAVE**
2003b. The Generative Psychology of Kinship. Pt. 2. Generating Variation from Universal Building Blocks with Optimality Theory. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 24: 320-350.

Jones, Doug. **HAVE**
2004. The Universal Psychology of Kinship: Evidence from Language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 8 (5): 211-215.

SCALOGRAM ANALYSIS

Buchler, Ira R.
1964a. A Formal Account of the Hawaiian- and Eskimo-Type Kinship Terminologies. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 20 (3): 286-318.

Buchler, Ira R. **HAVE**

1964b. Measuring the Development of Kinship Terminologies: Scalogram and Transformational Accounts of Crow-type Systems. *American Anthropologist* 66 (4, pt. 1): 765-788.

Buchler, Ira R. **HAVE**
1964c. Crow Terminological Scales: Corrections. *American Anthropologist* 67 (2): 563-564.

Buchler, Ira R.
1966. Measuring the Development of Kinship Terminologies: Scalogram and Transformational Accounts of Omaha-Type Systems. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land-en Volkenkunde* 122: 36-63.

Kronenfeld, David B. **HAVE**
1972. Guttman Scaling: Problems of Conceptual Domain, Unidimensionality, and Historical Inference. *Man* 7 (2): 255-276.

Scheffler, Harold W.
1967. On Scaling Kinship Terminologies. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 23 (2): 159-175.

Witkowski, Stanley R.
1972. Guttman's Scaling of Semantic Distinctions. In *Kinship Studies in the Morgan Centennial Year*, edited by Priscilla Reining. Pp. 167-188. Washington: Anthropological Society of Washington.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Chu, Hsien-Jen. **HAVE**
1969. A Note to Utilizing Murdock's Ethnographic Survey Materials for Cross-Cultural Family Research. *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 31 (2): 311-314.

Coult, Alan D., and Robert W. Habenstein.
1965. *Cross Tabulations of Murdock's World Ethnographic Sample*. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri. 549 P. [Pp. XXVIII-XXXII: code book for cousin terminology, bifurcation and colateralization.]

Review: Naroll 1967.

Driver, Harold E. **HAVE**
1953. Statistics in Anthropology. *American Anthropologist* 55 (1): 42-59. [P. 54: on the correlation between kinship systems, specifically of "Crow-Omaha" type and linguistic structures.]

Driver, Harold E. **HAVE**

1966. Geographical-Historical *versus* Psycho-Functional Explanations of Kin Avoidances. *Current Anthropology* 7 (2): 131-182. [Includes correlations with the types of kin terminologies.]

Driver, Harold E., and Peggy R. Sanday.

1971. Factors and Clusters of Kin Avoidance and Related Variables. In *Explorations in Mathematical Anthropology*, edited by Paul Kay. Pp. 269-279. Cambridge, MA, and London: MIT Press.

Driver, Harold E., and Karl F. Schuessler.

1967. Correlational Analysis of Murdock's 1957 Ethnographic Sample. *American Anthropologist* 69 (3-4): 332-352.

Jorgensen, Joseph G.

1966. Geographical Clustering and Functional Explanations of In-Law Avoidances. *Current Anthropology* 7: 161-169.

Köbben, A. J. F.

1951. De nieuwe uitwerking van een oude gedachte: Over de statistische methode in de volkenkunde. *Mens en Maatschappij* 26: 321-340.

Köbben, A. J. F.

1952. New Ways of Presenting an Old Idea: The Statistical Method in Social Anthropology. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 82: 129-146.

Levinson, David. (ed.)

1977. *A Guide to Social Theory: Worldwide Cross-Cultural Tests*. Vols. 1-5. New Haven: HRAF.

Reviews: Brudner-White & White 1979; Naroll 1979; Swanson 1980.

Murdock, George P.

HAVE

1957. World Ethnographic Sample. *American Anthropologist* 59: 664-687.

Reprinted in: *Readings in Cross-Cultural Methodology*, edited by Frank W. Moore. Pp. 193-216. New Haven: HRAF, 1961.

Murdock, George P.

1959. Evolution in Social Organization. In *Evolution and Anthropology: A Centennial Appraisal*. Pp. 126-143. Washington, D. C.: Anthropological Society of Washington. [Pp. 135-143: on the worldwide statistical correlations between types of kin terminology and social structure.]

Murdock, George P.

1966. Cross-Cultural Sampling. *Ethnology* 5 (1): 97-114.

Murdock, George P.

1967a. *Ethnographic Atlas*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press. 128 P.

Review: Coult 1968.

Murdock, George P.

1967b. *Ethnographic Atlas: A Summary*. *Ethnology* 6 (2): 109-236.

Murdock, George P.

1970. World Sampling Provinces. *Ethnology* 7 (3): 305-326.

Murdock, George P.

1971. Cross-Sex Patterns in Kin Behavior. *Ethnology* 10 (3): 359-368.

Murdock, George P., Clellan S. Ford, Alfred E. Hudson, Raymond Kennedy, Leo W. Simmons, John W. M. Whiting.

1950. *Outline of Cultural Materials*. 3rd revised edition. New Haven, CT: HRAF. [Pp. 86-93: family, kin terminology and kin groups.]

Murdock, George P., and Douglas R. White.

1969. Standard Cross-Cultural Sample. *Ethnology* 8 (4): 329-369.

Naroll, Raoul.

HAVE

1970. What Have We Learned from Cross-Cultural Surveys? *American Anthropologist* 72 (6): 1227-1288.

Pans, A. E. M. J.

1998. The Mother-In-Law Taboo. *Ethnology* 37 (1): 71-97.

White, Douglas R.

2002. A Note on Replication in Kinship Terminology. *World Cultures* 11 (1): 118.

Whiting, J. W. M., M. L. Burton, A. K. Romney, C. C. Moore and D. R. White.

1988. An Analysis of Murdock's Model for Social Structure Based on Optimal Scaling. *Behavior Science Research* 22: 23-40.